

# Functional Analysis

FOURTH GRADE,  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION FOR PURE SCIENCES: IBN AL-HAITHAM  
UNIVERSITY OF BAGHDAD

By

Dr. Inaam Mohammed Ali

Dr. Saba Naser Majeed

Teaching Team

Dr. Saba Naser Majeed

Dr. Zena Hussien Maibed

Lecturer Sabah Hassan

2019-2020

# Table of Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Table of Contents  | ii        |
| <b>1 Linear Space</b>                                      | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1 Examples of Linear Space . . . . .                     | 3         |
| 1.2 Linear Subspace . . . . .                              | 11        |
| 1.3 Linear Transformation Mapping . . . . .                | 12        |
| <b>2 Normed Linear Space</b>                               | <b>16</b> |
| 2.1 Examples of Normed Linear Space . . . . .              | 18        |
| 2.2 Product of Normed Spaces . . . . .                     | 27        |
| 2.3 Normed space and Metric space . . . . .                | 30        |
| 2.4 Generalizations of Some Concepts from Metric Space . . | 32        |
| 2.5 Convergence in Normed Space . . . . .                  | 35        |
| 2.6 Convexity in Normed Linear Space . . . . .             | 39        |
| 2.7 Continuity in Normed Linear Space . . . . .            | 43        |
| 2.8 Boundedness in Normed Linear Space . . . . .           | 47        |
| 2.9 Bounded Linear Transformation . . . . .                | 51        |
| <b>3 Banach Space</b>                                      | <b>54</b> |
| 3.1 Examples of Banach Space . . . . .                     | 54        |
| 3.2 Some Properties of Banach Space . . . . .              | 59        |
| <b>4 Inner Product Space</b>                               | <b>64</b> |
| 4.1 Examples of Inner Product Space . . . . .              | 65        |

|     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 4.2 | Some Properties of Inner Product Space . . . . .        | 70 |
| 4.3 | Hilbert Space . . . . .                                 | 82 |
| 4.4 | Orthogonality and Orthonormality in Inner Product Space | 85 |

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED

# Chapter 1

## Linear Space

A linear space (also called vector space), denoted by  $L$  or  $V$ , is a collection of objects called **vectors**, which may be added together and multiplied by numbers, called **scalars** which are taken from a field  $F$ . Before defining linear space, we first define an arbitrary field.

### **Definition 1.1. Field**

Let  $F$  be a non-empty set and  $+$  and  $\cdot$  be two binary operations on  $F$ .

The ordered triple  $(F, +, \cdot)$  is called **field** if and only if

- (1)  $(F, +)$  is a commutative group
- (2)  $(F - \{e\}, \cdot)$  is a commutative group, where  $e$  is the identity with respect to  $(+)$ .
- (3)  $(\cdot)$  is distributed over  $(+)$  (from left and right)

### **Example 1.2.**

Let  $(+)$  and  $(\cdot)$  are **ordinary addition and multiplications**. Then

- Each of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ , and  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$  are examples of fields
- $(\mathbb{Z}, -, \cdot)$  is not field ( Definition 1.1(1) does not hold) and  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  is not field ( Definition 1.1(2) does not hold)

**Definition 1.3. Linear Space**

Let  $(F, +, \cdot)$  be a field whose elements are called **scalars**. Let  $L$  is a non empty set whose elements are called **vectors**. Then  $L$  is a **linear space** (or a **vector space**) over the field  $F$ , if

- (1) **addition:** There is a binary operation  $+$  on  $L$  called **addition** (not usual addition) such that  $(L, +)$  is a commutative group.
- (2) **scalar multiplication:**  $\alpha.x \in L \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall \alpha \in F$ .
- (3) The scalar multiplication and addition satisfy
  - (i)  $\alpha.(x + y) = \alpha.x + \alpha.y \quad \forall x, y \in L, \quad \forall \alpha \in F$
  - (ii)  $(\alpha + \beta).x = \alpha.x + \beta.x \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F$
  - (iii)  $(\alpha.\beta).x = \alpha.(\beta.x) \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F$
  - (iv)  $1.x = x \quad \forall x \in L$  and  $1$  is the unity  $F$

**Remark 1.4.**

If  $L$  is a linear space over  $F$ , we say that  $L(F)$  is a linear space. We also can say  $L$  is a linear space.

## 1.1 Examples of Linear Space

### Example 1.5.

The set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , with **ordinary** addition and **ordinary** multiplication, is a linear space over  $(F, +, \cdot) = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ . Indeed,

- (1)  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  is an abelian group
- (2)  $\alpha.x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- (3) All other conditions are satisfied (Check!)

This linear space  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is called **real** linear space.

### Example 1.6.

The set of complex numbers  $C$ , with **ordinary** addition and **ordinary** multiplication, is a linear space over  $(F, +, \cdot) = (C, +, \cdot)$ . Indeed,

- (1)  $(C, +)$  is an abelian group
- (2)  $\alpha.x \in C \quad \forall x \in C, \alpha \in C$
- (3) All other conditions are satisfied (Check!)

This linear space  $(C, +, \cdot)$  is called **complex** linear space.

### Example 1.7.

Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be the field of real numbers. Let  $\mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . For any two elements  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , define ordinary addition

$$X + Y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n).$$

Also, define scalar multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$\alpha.X = (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Show that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution:** Let us check linear space conditions

(1) We show that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$  is a commutative group

(a) Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $X + Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is closed with respect to ordinary addition.

(b) For all  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n), Z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} X + (Y + Z) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) + [(y_1, \dots, y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n)] \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1 + z_1, \dots, y_n + z_n) \\ &= (x_1 + (y_1 + z_1), \dots, x_n + (y_n + z_n)) \\ &= ((x_1 + y_1) + z_1, \dots, (x_n + y_n) + z_n) \\ &= (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) + (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (X + Y) + Z. \end{aligned}$$

(c) For all  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$X + Y = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) = (y_1 + x_1, \dots, y_n + x_n) = Y + X$$

(d) For all  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (0, \dots, 0) = (x_1, \dots, x_n). \text{ Thus, } (0, \dots, 0) \text{ is the additive identity.}$$

(e) If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $-X = (-x_1, \dots, -x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$X + (-X) = (0, \dots, 0). \text{ Thus, } -X \text{ is the additive inverse of } X.$$

From (a)-(e) we get  $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$  is a commutative group.

(2) Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\alpha.X = (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is closed with respect to scalar multiplication.

(3) The scalar multiplication and addition satisfy

(i) If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha.(X + Y) &= \alpha.(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n) \\ &= (\alpha.(x_1 + y_1), \dots, \alpha.(x_n + y_n)) \\ &= (\alpha.x_1 + \alpha.y_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n + \alpha.y_n) \\ &= (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) + (\alpha.y_1, \dots, \alpha.y_n) \\ &= \alpha.(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \alpha.(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \alpha.X + \alpha.Y \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta).X &= ((\alpha + \beta).x_1, \dots, (\alpha + \beta).x_n) \\ &= (\alpha.x_1 + \beta.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n + \beta.x_n) \\ &= (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) + (\beta.x_1, \dots, \beta.x_n) \\ &= \alpha.(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \beta.(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha.X + \beta.Y \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha.\beta).X &= ((\alpha.\beta).x_1, \dots, (\alpha.\beta).x_n) \\ &= \alpha.(\beta.x_1, \dots, \beta.x_n) = \alpha.(\beta.(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \alpha.(\beta.X) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and 1 is the unity of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$1.X = (1.x_1, \dots, 1.x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = X$$



Hence  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear (vector)space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.8.**

Let  $(C, +, \cdot)$  be the field of complex numbers. Let  $C^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1, \dots, x_n \in C\}$ . For any two elements  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  of  $C^n$ , define

$$X + Y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n).$$

Define scalar multiplication in  $C^n$  over  $C$  by

$$\alpha.X = (\alpha.x_1, \dots, \alpha.x_n) \quad \forall \alpha \in C, \forall X \in C^n.$$

Show that  $C^n$  is a vector space over  $C$ . (**Verify that**)

**Example 1.9.**

Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be the field of real numbers. Let  $M = \{(x, 0), (0, y) : x, y > 0\}$ .

For any two elements  $X = (x, 0)$  and  $Y = (0, y)$  of  $M$ , define ordinary addition  $X + Y = (x, y)$ .

Also, define scalar multiplication in  $M$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $\alpha.X = (\alpha.x, 0)$  and  $\alpha.Y = (0, \alpha.y) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall X, Y \in M$ . Is  $M$  a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

**Solution:** Let us check if  $(M, +)$  is a commutative group.

Since  $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in M$  but  $(1, 0) + (0, 1) = (1, 1) \notin M$ . Thus,  $M$  is not closed under addition, then  $(M, +)$  is not group. Also,  $-1.(1, 0) = (-1, 0) \notin M$ . Thus,  $M$  is not closed under scalar multiplication.

**Example 1.10.**

Let  $C^b(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ; f \text{ is bounded and continuous}\}$  set of all bounded and continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$(f+g)(x) = f(x)+g(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha f)(x) = \alpha.f(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Show that  $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now, let us check linear space conditions.

(1) We show  $(C^b(\mathbb{R}), +)$  is a commutative group

(a) Let  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f, g$  are continuous and bounded functions. We want to prove  $f + g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ . (i.e.,  $f + g$  is continuous and bounded)

Since  $f, g$  are continuous, the sum  $(f + g)$  is a continuous function **(I)**

Also, since  $f, g$  are bounded functions,  $\exists M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M_1$  and  $|g(x)| \leq M_2$ . Hence, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|(f + g)(x)| = |f(x) + g(x)| \leq |f(x)| + |g(x)| \leq M_1 + M_2.$$

$|(f + g)(x)| \leq M_1 + M_2$ . Thus,  $f + g$  is bounded function **(II)**

By **(I)** and **(II)**,  $f + g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) For all  $f, g, h \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} [f + (g + h)](x) &= f(x) + [(g + h)(x)] \\ &= [f(x) + g(x)] + h(x) \\ &= (f + g)(x) + h(x) = [(f + g) + h](x). \end{aligned}$$

(c) For all  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x) = (g + f)(x).$$

(d) For all  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ , define  $\hat{0} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0$  by  $\hat{0}(x) = 0$ .

It is clear that  $\hat{0}$  is continuous and bounded function. Thus,  $\hat{0} \in$

$C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$(f + \hat{0})(x) = f(x) + \hat{0}(x) = f(x) + 0 = f(x).$$

Similarly,  $(\hat{0} + f)(x) = \hat{0}(x) + f(x) = 0 + f(x) = f(x)$  Thus,

$$f + \hat{0} = \hat{0} + f = f$$

$\hat{0}$  is called the additive identity.

(e) For any  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ , define  $-f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $(-f)(x) = -[f(x)] \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous, then  $-f$  is continuous.

Moreover,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |-f(x)| = |f(x)| \leq M$ . Then,  $-f$  is bounded.

Thus,  $-f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$[f + (-f)](x) = f(x) + (-f)(x) = f(x) + (-f(x)) = f(x) - f(x) = 0 = \hat{0}.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } [(-f) + f](x) = (-f)(x) + f(x) = (-f(x)) + f(x) = -f(x) + f(x) = 0 = \hat{0}.$$

From (a)-(e) we get  $(C^b(\mathbb{R}), +)$  is a commutative group.

**(2)** Let  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to prove  $\alpha f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ . (i.e.,  $\alpha f$  is continuous and bounded)

Since  $f$  is continuous, then  $\alpha f$  is a continuous function.

Also, since  $f$  is bounded functions,  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$ .

Hence, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|(\alpha f)(x)| = |\alpha \cdot f(x)| = |\alpha| |f(x)| \leq |\alpha| M.$$

Thus,  $\alpha f$  is bounded function. Therefore,  $\alpha f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  ( $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is closed with respect to scalar multiplication).

**(3)** The scalar multiplication and addition satisfy

(i) If  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(f + g))(x) &= \alpha.(f + g)(x) = \alpha.[(f(x) + g(x))] \\ &= \alpha.f(x) + \alpha.g(x) \\ &= (\alpha f)(x) + (\alpha g)(x) = (\alpha f + \alpha g)(x) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [(\alpha + \beta)f](x) &= (\alpha + \beta).f(x) \\ &= \alpha.f(x) + \beta.f(x) \\ &= (\alpha f)(x) + (\beta f)(x) = (\alpha f + \beta f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$[(\alpha.\beta)f](x) = (\alpha.\beta).f(x) = \alpha.(\beta.f(x)) = \alpha.[(\beta f)(x)] = [\alpha(\beta f)](x).$$

Hence,  $(\alpha.\beta)f = \alpha(\beta f)$ .

(iv) If  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  and 1 is the unity of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(1f)(x) = 1.f(x) = f(x).$$

Hence,  $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is a linear (vector)space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Exercise 1.11.

(1) Let  $C^b[a, b] = \{f : f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ } f \text{ is bounded and continuous}\}$  set of all bounded continuous functions defined on  $[a, b]$ . Show that  $C^b[a, b]$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $f + g$  and  $\alpha f$  are defined in the same way as in Example 1.10.

(2) Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $F = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ . Define the following **two operations**:

$$(1) (x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2) \quad \forall (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$$(2) \alpha.(x_1, x_2) = (\alpha.x_1, \alpha.x_2) \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Show that  $L$  is not a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

- (3) Let  $L$  be the set of all real valued sequences  $\langle x_n \rangle$ . Define usual addition and multiplication of a sequence as follows: for any  $\langle x_n \rangle, \langle y_n \rangle \in L$  and each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$\langle x_n \rangle + \langle y_n \rangle = \langle x_n + y_n \rangle$  and  $\alpha \cdot \langle x_n \rangle = \langle \alpha \cdot x_n \rangle$ . Show that  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (4) Let  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  be the field of real numbers. Let  $N = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1, x_2, x_3 > 0\}$ . Define the following **two operations**:

$$(1) X + Y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3). \quad \forall X = (x_1, x_2, x_3), Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in N$$

$$(2) \alpha \cdot X = (\alpha \cdot x_1, \alpha \cdot x_2, \alpha \cdot x_3) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall X \in N.$$

Is  $N$  linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

### Theorem 1.12. *Properties of Linear Space*

Let  $L(F)$  be a linear space and  $\mathbf{0}_L$  is a zero vector of  $L$ . Then

- (1)  $\alpha \cdot \mathbf{0}_L = \mathbf{0}_L \quad \forall \alpha \in F.$
- (2)  $0 \cdot x = \mathbf{0}_L \quad \forall x \in L.$
- (3)  $\alpha \cdot (-x) = -(\alpha \cdot x) \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall \alpha \in F.$
- (4)  $(-\alpha) \cdot x = -(\alpha \cdot x) \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall \alpha \in F.$
- (5)  $\alpha \cdot (x - y) = \alpha \cdot x - \alpha \cdot y \quad \forall x, y \in L, \quad \forall \alpha \in F.$
- (6) If  $\alpha \cdot x = 0$  then  $\alpha = 0$  or  $x = \mathbf{0}_L.$

## 1.2 Linear Subspace

### Definition 1.13.

Let  $L$  be a linear space over a field  $F$  and let  $\phi \neq H \subseteq L$ . Then  $H$  is called a **linear subspace** of  $L$  if  $H$  itself is a linear space over  $F$ .

### Theorem 1.14.

Let  $H$  be a non empty subset of a linear space  $L(F)$ .  $H$  is called a subspace of  $L$  if and only if  $\alpha x + \beta y \in H$  for all  $x, y \in H$  and for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ .

### Exercise 1.15.

(1) Let  $\mathbb{R}^3$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Which of the following subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(i)  $H_1 = \{(2, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\}$

(ii)  $H_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\}$

(iii)  $H_3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 + 2x_2 = 1\}$

(2) Let  $C[-1, 1]$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Which of the following subsets of  $C[-1, 1]$  are subspaces of  $C[-1, 1]$ .

(i)  $H_4 = \{f \in C[-1, 1] : f(0) = 0\}$

(ii)  $H_5 = \{f \in C[-1, 1] : f(x) \leq 0, \forall x \in [-1, 1]\}$

(iii)  $H_6 = \{f \in C[-1, 1] : f(-1) = f(1)\}$

**Solution (1(i)):** Take  $(2, x_2, x_3), (2, y_1, y_2) \in H_1$  then

$$(2, x_2, x_3) + (2, y_1, y_2) = (4, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) \notin H_1$$

Then, the closure condition is not satisfied. From Definition 1.13,  $H_1$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Another Solution (1(i)):** Let  $(2, x_2, x_3), (2, y_1, y_2) \in H_1$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\alpha(2, x_2, x_3) + \beta(2, y_1, y_2) = (2\alpha + 2\beta, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) = (2(\alpha + \beta), x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) \notin H_1$$

because  $2(\alpha + \beta) = 2$  if and only if  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

Thus, from Theorem 1.14,  $H_1$  is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution (2(iii)):** Let  $f, g \in H_6$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$f, g \in H_6 \Rightarrow f, g$  are continuous on  $[-1, 1] \Rightarrow \alpha f$  and  $\beta g$  are continuous on  $[-1, 1]$ . Thus,  $\alpha f + \beta g$  is continuous on  $[-1, 1]$ . **(I)**

$$(\alpha f + \beta g)(-1) = (\alpha f)(-1) + (\beta g)(-1)$$

$$= \alpha.f(-1) + \beta.g(-1)$$

$$= \alpha.f(1) + \beta.g(1) = (\alpha f + \beta g)(1). \quad \textbf{(II)}$$

From **(I)** and **(II)**,  $\alpha f + \beta g \in H_6$ . Thus,  $H_6$  is a subspace of  $C[-1, 1]$ .

### 1.3 Linear Transformation Mapping

#### Definition 1.16.

Let  $L(F)$  and  $L'(F)$  be two linear spaces over the same field  $F$ . A mapping  $T : L \rightarrow L'$  is called a **Linear Operator** or **Linear Transformation** if

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y) \quad \forall x, y \in L, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F.$$

#### Example 1.17.

Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $T(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1, x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(1) Show that  $T$  is a linear transformation.

(2) If  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (2, 1, -3), Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (0, -5, 1)$ . Compute

$T(2X)$  and  $T(X + Y)$ .

**Solution (1):** Let  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(\alpha X + \beta Y) &= T[\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \beta(y_1, y_2, y_3)] \\
 &= T(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2, \alpha x_3 + \beta y_3) \\
 &= (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2) \\
 &= (\alpha x_1, \alpha x_2) + (\beta y_1, \beta y_2) \\
 &= \alpha(x_1, x_2) + \beta(y_1, y_2) \\
 &= \alpha T(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \beta T(y_1, y_2, y_3) = \alpha T(X) + \beta T(Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Solution (2):**  $T(2X) = T(4, 2, -6) = (4, 2)$ .

$$T(X + Y) = T(2, -4, -2) = (2, -4).$$

**Exercise 1.18.**

(1) Let  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear space over  $F = \mathbb{R}$  with usual addition and multiplication. Show that each of the following mappings  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a linear transformation

(i)  $T_1(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2$

(ii)  $T_2(x_1, x_2) = (0, x_2)$

(iii)  $T_3(x_1, x_2) = (ax_1, ax_2)$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

(2) Let  $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of all bounded continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with usual addition and multiplication. Let  $T : C^b(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^b(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $T(f(x)) = x^2 f(x)$ . Show that  $T$  is a linear transformation mapping.



**Theorem 1.19.**

Let  $T : L(F) \rightarrow L'(F)$  be a linear transformation. Then

(i)  $T(0_L) = 0_{L'}$  where  $0_L$  is the zero vector of  $L$  and  $0_{L'}$  is the zero vector of  $L'$

(ii)  $T(-x) = -T(x)$

(iii)  $T(x - y) = T(x) - T(y)$

**Theorem 1.20.**

Let  $L, L'$  be linear spaces over same field  $F$ . Let  $T_1, T_2 : L \rightarrow L'$  linear transformations. Define the function  $T_1 + T_2 : L \rightarrow L'$  as  $(T_1 + T_2)(x) = T_1(x) + T_2(x) \quad \forall x \in L$

If  $\alpha \in F$ , then the function  $\alpha T_1 : L \rightarrow L'$  is defined as  $(\alpha T_1)(x) = \alpha T_1(x) \quad \forall x \in L$ . Then

(i) Show that  $T_1 + T_2$  is a linear transformation.

(ii) Show that  $\alpha T_1$  is a linear transformation.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in F$  and  $x, y \in L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 + T_2)(\alpha x + \beta y) &= T_1(\alpha x + \beta y) + T_2(\alpha x + \beta y) && \text{(Definition of +)} \\ &= \alpha T_1(x) + \beta T_1(y) + \alpha T_2(x) + \beta T_2(y) && \text{(since } T_1, T_2 \\ & && \text{linear trans.)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha(T_1(x) + T_2(x)) + \beta(T_1(y) + T_2(y)) \\ &= \alpha(T_1 + T_2)(x) + \beta(T_1 + T_2)(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T_1 + T_2$  is a linear transformation.

(ii) Let  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in F$  and  $x, y \in L$ . Then

$$(\alpha T_1)(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y) = \alpha T_1(\beta_1 x + \beta_2 y) \quad (\text{Definition of scalar multiplication})$$

$$= \alpha [\beta_1 T_1(x) + \beta_2 T_1(y)] \quad (\text{since } T_1 \text{ linear trans.})$$

$$= \alpha \beta_1 T_1(x) + \alpha \beta_2 T_1(y)$$

$$= \beta_1 (\alpha T_1)(x) + \beta_2 (\alpha T_1)(y)$$

Thus,  $\alpha T_1$  is a linear transformation.  $\square$

**Definition 1.21.**

Let  $L$  be a linear space. A linear transformation  $T : L \rightarrow F$  is said to be **Linear functional**. (Note that  $F$  can be regarded as a linear space over  $F$ ).

**Example 1.22.**

Let  $L = F^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1, \dots, x_n \in F\}$  be a linear space over the field  $F$ . Let  $T : F^n \rightarrow F$  defined by  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n \quad \forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in F$ . Prove that  $T$  is a linear transformation.

**Solution:** Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha x + \beta y) &= T[\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \beta(y_1, \dots, y_n)] \\ &= T(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_n + \beta y_n) \\ &= \alpha_1(\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1) + \dots + \alpha_n(\alpha x_n + \beta y_n) \\ &= \alpha(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n) + \beta(\alpha_1 y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n) \\ &= \alpha T(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \beta T(y_1, \dots, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T$  is a linear transformation (i.e., linear functional).

# Chapter 2

## Normed Linear Space

### Definition 2.1.

Let  $L(F)$  be a linear space over a field  $F$ . A mapping  $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called **norm** if the following conditions hold

- (1)  $\|x\| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L.$  (Positivity)
- (2)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0.$
- (3)  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L.$  (Triangle Inequality)
- (4)  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L, \forall \alpha \in F.$

$(L, \| \cdot \|)$  is called **normed linear space**.

### Remark 2.2.

From now on, the field  $F$  is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $C$ .

### Theorem 2.3.

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed linear space. Then, for each  $x, y \in L$

- (1)  $\|\mathbf{0}_L\| = 0.$
- (2)  $\|x\| = \|-x\|.$
- (3)  $\|x - y\| = \|y - x\|.$

$$(4) \quad | \|x\| - \|y\| | \leq \|x - y\|. \quad (\text{Reverse Triangle Inequality})$$

$$(5) \quad | \|x\| - \|y\| | \leq \|x + y\|. \quad (\text{Reverse Triangle Inequality})$$

(6) Every subspace of a normed space is itself normed space with respect to the same norm.

*Proof.* (1)  $\|\mathbf{0}_L\| = \|\mathbf{0}\mathbf{0}_L\|$  (see Theorem 1.12(1))

$$= 0 \|\mathbf{0}_L\| = 0.$$

$$(2) \quad \|-x\| = |-1| \|x\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L.$$

$$(3) \quad \|x - y\| = \|-(y - x)\| = \|y - x\| \quad (\text{by part (2)}).$$

$$(4) \quad \text{We must prove } -\|x - y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$$

$$\|x\| = \|x - y + y\| \leq \|x - y\| + \|y\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \|y\| = \|y - x + x\| \leq \|y - x\| + \|x\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad (\text{II})$$

$$\text{Hence, by (I) and (II), we get } \|x - y\| \geq |\|x\| - \|y\|| \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

$$(5) \quad \text{We must prove } -\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\|x\| = \|x + y - y\| \leq \|x + y\| + \|-y\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\| \quad (\text{III})$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \|y\| = \|y + x - x\| \leq \|y + x\| + \|-x\| \quad (\text{by Definition 2.1(3)}).$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|y\| - \|x\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\|x\| - \|y\| \geq -\|x + y\| \quad (\text{IV})$$

$$\text{Hence, by (III) and (IV), we get } -\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x + y\|$$

$$\forall x, y \in L.$$

□

## 2.1 Examples of Normed Linear Space

### Example 2.4.

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|x\| = |x|$ .

Show that  $(\mathbb{R}, \| \cdot \|)$  is a normed space.

**Solution:** We show that

$$(1) \|x\| = |x| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}; \text{ hence } \|x\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } x \in \mathbb{R}, \|x\| = 0 \iff |x| = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

$$(3) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha x| = |\alpha| |x| = |\alpha| \|x\|.$$

$$(4) \|x + y\| = |x + y| \leq |x| + |y| = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

### Example 2.5.

Let  $L = C$  be a complex linear space over  $C$  with  $\| \cdot \| : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$\|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \quad \forall z = a + ib$ . Show that  $(C, \| \cdot \|)$  is a normed space.

**Solution:** We show that

$$(1) \|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \geq 0 \quad \forall z = a + ib \in C; \text{ hence } \|z\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } z = a + ib \in C$$

$$\|z\| = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = 0 \iff a = b = 0 \iff z = 0 + 0i = 0.$$

$$(3) \text{ Let } z, w \in C$$

$$\|z + w\|^2 = (z + w)(\overline{z + w}) \quad \text{where } \overline{z + w} = \text{conjugate of } z + w$$

$$= (z + w)(\bar{z} + \bar{w}) = z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + w\bar{z} + \bar{w}z$$

$$= z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + \underbrace{w\bar{z} + \bar{w}z}$$

$$= z\bar{z} + w\bar{w} + 2\text{Re } w\bar{z}$$

$$\leq \|z\|^2 + \|w\|^2 + 2\|w\|\|z\| = (\|z\| + \|w\|)^2.$$

Thus,  $\|z + w\|^2 \leq (\|z\| + \|w\|)^2$ , hence,  $\|z + w\| \leq \|z\| + \|w\|$ .

(4) Let  $z \in C, \alpha \in C$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha z\| &= |\alpha z| = |\alpha(a + ib)| \\ &= \sqrt{(\alpha a)^2 + (\alpha b)^2} = \sqrt{\alpha^2(a^2 + b^2)} = \sqrt{\alpha^2} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = |\alpha| \|z\| = \\ &|\alpha| \|z\|. \end{aligned}$$

**As an application to Example 2.5:** Let  $z = 2 + 3i, w = 1 - i$ , then

$$\|z + w\| = \|(2 + 1) + (3i - i)\| = \|3 + 2i\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{13}.$$

$$\|5z\| = \|10 + 15i\| = \sqrt{10^2 + 15^2} = \sqrt{325} = 5\sqrt{13}.$$

$$5\|z\| = 5\sqrt{2^2 + 3^2} = 5\sqrt{13}.$$

### Example 2.6.

Show that the linear space  $C^b(\mathbb{R})$  is a normed space under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \forall f \in C^b(\mathbb{R}).$$

(1) Since  $|f(x)| \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\|f\| = \sup |f(x)| \geq 0$ . Hence,  $\|f\| \geq 0$ .

(2)  $\|f\| = 0 \iff \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = 0$

$$\iff |f(x)| = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\iff f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \iff f = \hat{0} \text{ (zero mapping)}$$

(3) Let  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\|f + g\| = \sup\{|f(x) + g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{|f(x)| + |g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} + \sup\{|g(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\} = \|f\| + \|g\|.$$

Hence,  $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ .

(4) Let  $f \in C^b(\mathbb{R}), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\alpha f\| &= \sup\{ |(\alpha f)(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R} \} \\
&= \sup\{ |\alpha| |f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R} \} \\
&= |\alpha| \sup\{ |f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R} \} \text{ (By Theorem 2.7 below where } A = |f(x)| \\
&\text{and } \beta = |\alpha|) \\
&= |\alpha| \|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.7.**

If  $A$  is a bounded above set and  $\beta > 0$ , then  $\beta A$  is bounded above and  $\sup(\beta A) = \beta \sup(A)$ .

As an application to Example 2.6: Let  $f, g \in C^b(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  and  $g(x) = 2\cos(x) + 1$ . Hence,

$$\|f\| = \sup\{ |\sin(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R} \} = 1 \quad (\text{since } |\sin(x)| \leq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

$$\|g\| = \sup\{ |2\cos(x) + 1| : x \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

But  $|2\cos(x) + 1| \leq 2|\cos(x)| + 1 = 3$ . So  $\|g\| = 3$ .

**Example 2.8.**

The linear space  $C^b[0, 1]$  of all real valued continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  is a normed space under the norm defined in Example 2.6. (**H.W.**)

**Example 2.9.**

The linear space  $C[0, 1]$  of all real valued continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  is a normed space with the norm defined as

$$\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx \quad \forall f \in C[0, 1].$$

**solution:** (1) Since  $|f(x)| \geq 0, \forall x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\int_0^1 |f(x)| dx \geq 0$ . Thus,

$$\|f\| \geq 0.$$

$$(2) \|f\| = 0 \iff \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx = 0$$

$$\iff |f(x)| = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\iff f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\iff f = \hat{0} \text{ (zero mapping).}$$

(3) Let  $f, g \in C[0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \int_0^1 |f(x) + g(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx + \int_0^1 |g(x)| dx = \|f\| + \|g\| \end{aligned}$$

(4) Let  $f \in C[0, 1], \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\|\alpha f\| = \int_0^1 |\alpha f(x)| dx = \int_0^1 |\alpha| |f(x)| dx = |\alpha| \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx = |\alpha| \|f\|.$$

**As an application to Example 2.9:** Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $f(x) = x^3$  and  $g(x) = -x^2$ . Find  $\|f\|$ ,  $\|g\|$  and  $\|f + g\|$ .

$$\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx = \int_0^1 |x^3| dx = \int_0^1 x^3 dx = \frac{1}{4}.$$

$$\|g\| = \int_0^1 |g(x)| dx = \int_0^1 |-x^2| dx = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \int_0^1 |(f + g)(x)| dx = \int_0^1 \left| \underbrace{x^3 - x^2}_{\leq 0} \right| dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (x^2 - x^3) dx = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

### Example 2.10.

Consider the linear space  $F^n$  over  $F$  ( $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $C$ ). Define  $\|\cdot\| : F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\|X\| = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} \quad \forall X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ . Then  $(F^n, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space.

**solution:** (1) For any  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$ ,  $|x_i| \geq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then  $\max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} \geq 0$ , then  $\|X\| \geq 0$ .

(2)  $\|X\| = 0$ , where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$



$$\iff \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} = 0$$

$$\iff |x_1| = \dots = |x_n| = 0 \iff x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$$

$$\iff X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0) = \mathbf{0}_{F^n}$$

(3) Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F^n$

$$\|X + Y\| = \max\{|x_1 + y_1|, \dots, |x_n + y_n|\}$$

$$\leq \max\{|x_1| + |y_1|, \dots, |x_n| + |y_n|\}$$

$$\leq \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} + \max\{|y_1|, \dots, |y_n|\} = \|X\| + \|Y\|$$

(4) Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F^n$  and  $\alpha \in F$

$$\|\alpha X\| = \max\{|\alpha x_1|, \dots, |\alpha x_n|\}$$

$$= \max\{|\alpha| |x_1|, \dots, |\alpha| |x_n|\} = |\alpha| \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\} = |\alpha| \|X\|$$

**As an application to Example 2.10:** Consider the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  over

$\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 2, -5), Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (0, -7, 3)$ . Then ,

$$(1) \|X\| = \max\{|1|, |2|, |-5|\} = 5 \text{ and}$$

$$\|Y\| = \max\{|0|, |-7|, |3|\} = 7.$$

$$\|X + 2Y\| = \max\{|1|, |-12|, |11|\} = 12$$

$$(2) \text{ Find } \|2X - Y\|, \|2X + 3Y\|, \|3X\|$$

(3) Show that

$$\max\{|x_1| + |y_1|, |x_2| + |y_2|, |x_3| + |y_3|\} \leq \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|\} + \max\{|y_1|, |y_2|, |y_3|\}.$$

**Exercise 2.11.**

(1) Let  $L = C^2$  be a linear space over  $F = C$ . Define  $\| \cdot \| : C^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|X\| = a|x_1| + b|x_2|$ ,  $\forall X = (x_1, x_2) \in C^2$  and  $a, b > 0$ . Show that  $\| \cdot \|$  is a norm on  $C^2$ . (**H.W.**)

(2) Consider the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\|X\| = \min\{|x|, |y|\}$ ,  $\forall X = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $\| \cdot \|$  is not a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**solution:** Let  $X = (0, -3) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\|X\| = \min\{|0|, |-3|\} = \min\{0, 3\} = 0$$

Since  $X \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ , but  $\|X\| = 0$ . Condition (2) of the definition of the norm is not valid. Hence,  $\| \cdot \|$  is not a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(3) Consider the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\|X\| = |x|^2 + |y|^2$ ,  $\forall X = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $\| \cdot \|$  does not satisfies condition (4).

**solution:** Let  $X = (1, 3)$ ,  $\alpha = 2$

$$|\alpha| \|X\| = 2(|x|^2 + |y|^2) = 2(1^2 + 3^2) = 20$$

$$\|\alpha X\| = \|2(1, 3)\| = \|(2, 6)\| = 2^2 + 6^2 = 40$$

Thus,  $|\alpha| \|X\| = 20 \neq \|\alpha X\| = 40$ .

(4) Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space. Let  $\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L$ .

Show that  $\|3x + 2y\| = 3\|x\| + 2\|y\|$ .

**solution:** We must show  $\|3x + 2y\| \geq 3\|x\| + 2\|y\|$  and  $\|3x + 2y\| \leq 3\|x\| + 2\|y\|$

$$\|3x + 2y\| = \|3x + 3y - y\| = \|3(x + y) - y\|$$

$$\geq | \|3(x + y)\| - \|y\| | \quad (\text{By Theorem 2.3(4)})$$

$$= |3(\|x + y\|) - \|y\| | \quad (\text{By axiom (4)})$$

$$= |3(\|x\| + \|y\|) - \|y\| | \quad (\text{By assumption})$$

$$= |3 \|x\| + 2 \|y\| | = 3 \|x\| + 2 \|y\|$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|3x + 2y\| \geq 3 \|x\| + 2 \|y\| \quad (1)$$

$$\text{On the other hand, } \|3x + 2y\| \leq 3 \|x\| + 2 \|y\| \quad (\text{By axioms (3-4)}) \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2),  $\|3x + 2y\| = 3 \|x\| + 2 \|y\|$ .

## Some Important Inequalities

To give more examples about normed space, it is important to present some inequalities.

If  $l_p = \{\langle x_n \rangle : x_n \text{ is a real number and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p < \infty\}$  be a set of sequence space (see Exercise 1.11(2)). Let  $x_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, \rangle \in l_p$ ,  $y_n = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, \rangle \in l_q$ . Then

### (1) Holder's Inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i y_i| \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $p > 1, q > 1$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

### (2) Cauchy Schwarz's Inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i y_i| \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Note that Cauchy Schwarz's inequality is a special case of Holder's inequality where  $p = q = 2$ .

### (3) Minkowski's Inequality

If  $p \geq 1$

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i + y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

**Example 2.12.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $X = (-1, 2), Y = (0, 5) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $p = 3$ .

- (1) Verify Cauchy Schwarz inequality.
- (2) Verify Minkowski's inequality.

**Remark 2.13.**

The three inequalities above hold for finite sum.

Now we can give the following examples

**Example 2.14.**

Show that the linear space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $C^n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a normed space with  $\|X\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $C^n, X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|X\|)$  is called **Euclidian space** and  $(C^n, \|X\|)$  is called **Unitary space**.

**Solution:** Let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  (or  $C^n$ ).

(1) Since  $|x_i| \geq 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then,  $\left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq 0$ ; that is  $\|X\| \geq 0$ .

$$(2) \|X\| = 0 \iff \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = 0$$

$$\iff |x_i|^2 = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff x_i = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$(3) \|X + Y\| = \|(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)\|$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{Minkoski's Inequality})$$

$$= \|X\| + \|Y\|$$

$$(4) \|\alpha X\| = \|(\alpha x_1, \dots, \alpha x_n)\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha|^2 |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= |\alpha| \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\alpha| \|X\|$$

**As an application to Example 2.14:**

(1) Let  $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|)$  be an Euclaidian space and  $X = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, -2, 4)$ .

Then, find  $\|X\|$ .

(2) Let  $(C^2, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Unitary space and  $X = (x_1, x_2) = (1 + i, -2i)$ .

Then, find  $\|X\|$ .

## 2.2 Product of Normed Spaces

### Definition 2.15.

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|_L), (L', \| \cdot \|_{L'})$  be normed linear spaces over a field  $F$ . Let  $L \times L' = \{(X, Y) : X \in L, Y \in L'\}$  be the Cartesian product of  $L$  and  $L'$ .

Define  $+$  on  $L \times L'$  by

$$(X_1, Y_1) + (X_2, Y_2) = (\underbrace{X_1 + X_2}_{\text{sum on } L}, \underbrace{Y_1 + Y_2}_{\text{sum on } L'}), \quad \forall (X_1, Y_1) + (X_2, Y_2) \in L \times L'.$$

Define a scalar multiplication

$$\alpha(X, Y) = (\alpha X, \alpha Y), \quad \forall (X, Y) \in L \times L', \forall \alpha \in F.$$

### Proposition 2.16.

Show that  $(L \times L', +, \times)$  is a linear space over  $F$ . (H. W.)

### Remark 2.17.

The product linear space defined above can be made a normed space by different ways as we show in the following example.

### Example 2.18.

Define  $\| \cdot \| : L \times L' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|(X, Y)\|_1 = \|X\|_L + \|Y\|_{L'}$$

$$(2) \quad \|(X, Y)\|_2 = \max\{\|X\|_L, \|Y\|_{L'}\}$$

Show that  $(L \times L', \| \cdot \|_1), (L \times L', \| \cdot \|_2)$  are normed spaces.

(1) To show  $(L \times L', \| \cdot \|_1)$  is a normed space,

(i) Since  $\|X\|_L \geq 0$  and  $\|Y\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall X \in L, \forall Y \in L'$ , then

$$\|X\|_L + \|Y\|_{L'} = \|(X, Y)\|_1 \geq 0.$$

$$(ii) \|(X, Y)\|_1 = 0 \iff \|X\|_L + \|Y\|_{L'} = 0$$

$$\iff \|X\|_L = \|Y\|_{L'} = 0$$

$$\iff X = Y = 0 \quad ((L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})) \text{ are normed spaces}$$

$$\iff (X, Y) = (0, 0)$$

(iii) For each  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \in L \times L'$

$$\|(X_1, Y_1) + (X_2, Y_2)\|_1 = \|(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2)\|_1$$

$$= \|X_1 + X_2\|_L + \|Y_1 + Y_2\|_{L'}$$

$$\leq \|X_1\|_L + \|X_2\|_L + \|Y_1\|_{L'} + \|Y_2\|_{L'}$$

$$= (\|X_1\|_L + \|Y_1\|_{L'}) + (\|X_2\|_L + \|Y_2\|_{L'})$$

$$= \|(X_1, Y_1)\|_1 + \|(X_2, Y_2)\|_1$$

(iv) For each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and for each  $\alpha \in F$

$$\|\alpha(X, Y)\|_1 = \|(\alpha X, \alpha Y)\|_1 = \|\alpha X\|_L + \|\alpha Y\|_{L'}$$

$$= |\alpha| \|X\|_L + |\alpha| \|Y\|_{L'} = |\alpha| (\|X\|_L + \|Y\|_{L'}) = |\alpha| \|(X, Y)\|_1$$

(2) Now, we show that  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is a norm on  $L \times L'$

(i) Since  $\|X\|_L \geq 0$  and  $\|Y\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall X \in L, \forall Y \in L'$ , then

$$\max\{\|X\|_L, \|Y\|_{L'}\} = \|(X, Y)\|_2 \geq 0.$$

$$(ii) \|(X, Y)\|_2 = 0 \iff \max\{\|X\|_L, \|Y\|_{L'}\} = 0$$

$$\iff \|X\|_L = \|Y\|_{L'} = 0$$

$$\iff X = Y = 0 \quad ((L, \|\cdot\|_L), (L', \|\cdot\|_{L'})) \text{ are normed spaces}$$

$$\iff (X, Y) = (0, 0)$$

(iii) For each  $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2) \in L \times L'$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(X_1, Y_1) + (X_2, Y_2)\|_2 &= \|(X_1 + X_2, Y_1 + Y_2)\|_2 \\
 &= \max\{\|X_1 + X_2\|_L, \|Y_1 + Y_2\|_{L'}\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\|X_1\|_L + \|X_2\|_L, \|Y_1\|_{L'} + \|Y_2\|_{L'}\} \\
 &\leq \max\{\|X_1\|_L, \|Y_1\|_{L'}\} + \max\{\|X_2\|_L, \|Y_2\|_{L'}\} \\
 &= \|(X_1, Y_1)\|_2 + \|(X_2, Y_2)\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For each  $(X, Y) \in L \times L'$  and for each  $\alpha \in F$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\alpha(X, Y)\|_2 &= \|(\alpha X, \alpha Y)\|_2 = \max\{\|\alpha X\|_L, \|\alpha Y\|_{L'}\} \\
 &= \max\{|\alpha| \|X\|_L, |\alpha| \|Y\|_{L'}\} \\
 &= |\alpha| \max\{\|X\|_L, \|Y\|_{L'}\} = |\alpha| \|(X, Y)\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

**As an application to Example 2.18:** Let  $L = (\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$  and  $L' = (\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{R}^2})$

where  $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  is the Euclaidian norm. If  $X = 3 \in L = \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y = (1, -2) \in L' = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Find  $\|(X, Y)\|_1$  and  $\|(X, Y)\|_2$

**Solution:**  $\|(X, Y)\|_1 = \|(3, (1, -2))\|_1 = \|3\|_{\mathbb{R}} + \|(1, -2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= |3| + \left[ \sum_{i=1}^2 |y_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= 3 + \left[ |1|^2 + |-2|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 3 + \sqrt{5}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Find  $\|(X, Y)\|_2$  (H.W.)



## 2.3 Normed space and Metric space

### Definition 2.19.

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping. Then  $d$  is called metric if

- (1)  $d(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (2)  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (3)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in X$
- (4)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X.$

$(X, d)$  is called **metric space**

### Theorem 2.20.

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed linear space. Let  $d : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in X$ . Prove that  $(L, d)$  is a metric space. (i.e., every normed space is a metric space). The metric  $d$  is called **metric induced** by the norm.

*Proof.* To prove  $(L, d)$  is a metric space.

(i) By definition of norm,  $\|x - y\| \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in L$ . Hence,  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \geq 0$

(ii)  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|y - x\| = d(y, x)$

(iii)  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff \|x - y\| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$

(iv)  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|x - z + z - y\| \leq \|x - z\| + \|z - y\| = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$  □

**Lemma 2.21.**

Let  $d$  be a metric induced by a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  (i.e.,  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ ). Then  $d$  satisfies the following:

$$(i) \quad d(x + a, y + a) = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, a \in L.$$

$$(ii) \quad d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = |\alpha| d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in L, \forall \alpha \in F.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $d(x + a, y + a) = \|x + a - (y + a)\| = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y, a \in L$

$$(2) \quad d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = \|\alpha x - \alpha y\| = \|\alpha(x - y)\| = |\alpha| \|x - y\| = |\alpha| d(x, y). \quad \square$$

**Remark 2.22.**

Not every metric space is a normed space as we show in the next example

**Example 2.23.**

Let  $d$  be the discrete metric on a space  $X$ . Then  $d$  can't be obtained from a norm on  $X$  (i.e.,  $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ ), where

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

**Solution:** Suppose  $d$  induced by a norm on  $X$ . Then, by previous Lemma,

$$d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = |\alpha| d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in F.$$

Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \neq y$ . Then  $\alpha x \neq \alpha y$  such that  $d(x, y) = 1, d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = 1$  (1)

$$\text{But } |\alpha| d(x, y) = |\alpha| \quad (2)$$

Hence,  $d(\alpha x, \alpha y) = 1 \neq |\alpha| = |\alpha| d(x, y)$  for any  $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ . Thus,  $d$  can not be induced by a normed space.

**Example 2.24.**

Let  $d(x, y) = |x| + |y| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $d$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  (check!).

However,  $d$  is not induced by a normed space. To show this, let  $x = 1, y = 3, a = 2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$d(x, y) = d(1, 3) = |1| + |3| = 4$$

$$\text{On the other hand, } d(x + a, y + a) = d(3, 5) = |3| + |5| = 8$$

Thus,  $d(x, y) \neq d(x + a, y + a)$ . By Lemma 2.21,  $d$  is not induced by a norm.

## 2.4 Generalizations of Some Concepts from Metric Space

In what follow, we give generalizations of some known concepts from metric space such as open (closed) ball, open (closed) set, interior set, closure of a set, convergent sequence, Cauchy sequence, and bounded sequence.

**Definition 2.25.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed linear space. Let  $x_0 \in L, r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$ . Then the set

$$B_r(x_0) = \{x \in L : \|x - x_0\| < r\}$$

is called an **open ball** with center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ . Similarly,

$$\bar{B}_r(x_0) = \{x \in L : \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$$

is called an **closed ball** with center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ .

**Definition 2.26.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space and  $A \subseteq L$ . Then  $A$  is said to be

- **open set** if  $\forall x \in A, \exists r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x) \subseteq A$ .
- **closed set** if  $A^c = L \setminus A$  is open set

**Remark 2.27.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space. Then

- (1)  $L, \phi$  are closed and open.
- (2) The union of any family of open sets is open
- (3) The union of finite family of closed sets is closed
- (4) The intersection of finite family of open sets is open
- (5) The intersection of any family of closed sets is closed.

**Theorem 2.28.**

Any finite subset of a normed space is closed.

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a normed space and  $A \subseteq L$ .

If  $A = \phi$ , then  $A$  is closed (by Remark 2.27(1))

If  $A = \{x\}$  to prove  $A$  is closed (i.e., to prove  $L \setminus A$  is open)

Let  $y \in L \setminus A = L \setminus \{x\}$  so that  $y \neq x$ . Put  $\|x - y\| = r > 0$ . Thus,  $x \notin B_r(y)$  and hence  $B_r(y) \subseteq A^c = L \setminus \{x\}$ . Thus,  $A^c$  is open and thus  $A$  is closed.

If  $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, n > 1$  then  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\}$ . By Remark 2.27(3),

$A$  is closed □

**Exercise 2.29.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space. Prove that

- (i) The set  $A_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is closed
- (ii) The set  $A_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\}$  is open
- (iii) The set  $C = \{x \in L : \|x\| = 1\}$  is closed

**Solution:**

(i)  $A_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\} = \overline{B}_1(0)$ .

So,  $A_1$  is a closed set (by Definition 2.25)

(ii)  $A_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\} = B_1(0)$ .

So,  $A_2$  is an open set (by Definition 2.25)

(iii)  $C = \{x \in L : \|x\| = 1\}$

$$L \setminus C = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\} \cup \{x \in L : \|x\| > 1\}$$

Let  $C_1 = \{x \in L : \|x\| < 1\}$  is open set

Let  $C_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| > 1\}$

So,  $L \setminus C_2 = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  which is closed set. Hence,  $C_2$  is an open set.

Thus,  $L \setminus C = C_1 \cup C_2$  is an open set (by Remark 2.27(2)).

**Definition 2.30.**

Let  $L$  be a normed space and  $A \subseteq L$ . A point  $x \in L$  is called **limit point** of  $A$  if for each open set  $G$  containing  $x$ , we have  $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ .

The set of all limit points of  $A$  is denoted by  $A'$  and is called **derived set**.

The closure of  $A$  is denoted by  $\overline{A}$  and is defined as  $\overline{A} = A \cup A'$ .

**Proposition 2.31.**

Let  $L$  be a normed linear space and  $A \subseteq L$ . Then  $x \in \bar{A}$  if and only if  $\forall r > 0, \exists y \in A, \|x - y\| < r$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $x \in \bar{A} = A \cup A'$

If  $x \in A'$  then for each open set  $G$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ .

Since  $B_r(x)$  is an open set then  $\forall r > 0$ , we have  $B_r(x) \cap A \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ . Thus,

$$\exists y \in B_r(x) \cap A, y \neq x \implies \|y - x\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\text{If } x \in A \text{ then } \exists y = x \text{ such that } \|y - x\| = 0 < r \quad (\text{II})$$

From (I) and (II), we get the required result.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If for each  $r > 0, \exists y \in A$  such that  $\|y - x\| < r$ ; that is  $\forall r > 0, \exists y \in A, y \in B_r(x)$

$\implies \forall r > 0, (B_r(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi \implies x \in A'$ . Thus,  $x \in \bar{A}$ .  $\square$

**2.5 Convergence in Normed Space****Definition 2.32.**

Let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a sequence in a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ . Then  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is said to be **convergent** in  $L$  if  $\exists x \in L$  such that  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > k$$

We write  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n) = x$ ; that is

$$\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \iff x_n \rightarrow x.$$

$\langle x_n \rangle$  is **divergent** if it is not convergent.

**Theorem 2.33.**

If  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a convergent sequence in  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$ , then its limit is unique. i.e.,

If  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$  and  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow y$  then  $x = y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$  and  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow y$ , then  $\exists k_1, k_2 \in Z_+$  such that

$$\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n > k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|x_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall n > k_2$$

Let  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ , so  $\forall n > k$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &= \|x_n - y - x_n + x\| = \|(x_n - y) - (x_n - x)\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\implies \|x - y\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$ . Thus,  $\|x - y\| = 0$ , so  $x = y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.34.**

Let  $A \subseteq L$  where  $L$  is a normed space, let  $x \in L$ . Then

$x \in \bar{A} \iff \exists \langle x_n \rangle$  a sequence in  $A$  such that  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ .

*Proof.*  $(\implies)$  Let  $x \in \bar{A} = A \cup A'$

If  $x \in A$  then the sequence  $\langle x, x, x, \dots \rangle \rightarrow x$  **(I)**

If  $x \notin A$ , i.e.,  $x \in A'$  then for each open set  $G$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ .

Since  $B_r(x)$  is an open set then  $\forall r > 0$ , we have  $B_r(x) \cap A \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ . Set

$0 < r = \frac{1}{n} \in Z_+$ . Then  $\forall n \in Z_+$ ,  $(B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$

Let  $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A$ , s.t  $x_n \neq x$ , hence,  $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in Z_+$  **(\*)**

Thus,  $\exists \langle x_n \rangle \in A$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in Z_+$ .

To show  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ ; that is  $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  so by Archmedian theorem  $\exists k \in Z_+$  such that  $\frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$

Hence,  $\forall n > k, \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$

From (\*),  $\forall n > k, \|x_n - x\| < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{k} < \epsilon$ . Thus,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  (II)

From (I) and (II), we get the required result.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $\exists \langle x_n \rangle$  a sequence in  $A$  such that  $\langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow x$ . To prove  $x \in \bar{A} = A \cup A'$

If  $x \in A$  then  $x \in \bar{A}$

If  $x \notin A$ . Let  $G$  be an open set in  $L$  such that  $x \in G$ . Then  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x) \subseteq G$ . Since  $r > 0$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\|x_n - x\| < r, \forall n > k$ .

This implies,  $x_n \in B_r(x) \forall n > k$  and since  $x_n \in A \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$(B_r(x) \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ . Since  $B_r(x) \subseteq G$ , then  $(G \cap A) \setminus \{x\} \neq \phi$ . So,  $x \in A'$ , and therefore  $x \in \bar{A}$ . □

### Theorem 2.35.

Let  $\langle x_n \rangle, \langle y_n \rangle$  be two sequences in normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Then

$$(1) \langle x_n \rangle \pm \langle y_n \rangle \rightarrow x \pm y$$

$$(2) \lambda \langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda x \quad \text{for any scalar } \lambda$$

$$(3) \| \langle x_n \rangle \| \rightarrow \| x \|$$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then

$$\text{for each } \epsilon > 0, \exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall n > k_1$$

Also since  $y_n \rightarrow y$ , then

$$\text{for each } \epsilon > 0, \exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall n > k_2$$

Let  $k = \max\{k_1, k_2\}$ . Then, for each  $n > k$

$$\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } \|y_n - y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (I)$$



Now, for each  $n > k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)\| &= \|(x_n - x) + (y_n - y)\| \leq \|x_n - x\| + \|y_n - y\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad (\text{from (I)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x_n + y_n \rightarrow x + y$  as required.

(2) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  s.t  $\|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{|\lambda|}$ ,  $\forall n > k$  (II)

$$\text{But } \|\lambda x_n - \lambda x\| = |\lambda| \underbrace{\|x_n - x\|}_{\text{using (II)}} < \frac{\epsilon}{|\lambda|} |\lambda| = \epsilon$$

Thus,  $\lambda \langle x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda x$

(3) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x$ ,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  s.t  $\|x_n - x\| < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall n > k$  (III)

$$\text{But } |\|x_n\| - \|x\|| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x\|}_{\text{using (III)}} < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k. \text{ Hence, } \|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|. \quad \square$$

### Definition 2.36.

Let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a sequence in a normed space  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ . Then  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is said to be **Cauchy sequence** if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  s.t  $\|x_n - x_m\| < \epsilon, \forall n, m > k$ .

### Theorem 2.37.

Every convergent sequence in a normed space  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a convergent sequence in  $L$ . Then  $\exists x \in L$  such that

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ and so } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|x_n - x\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n > k \quad (\text{I})$$

Now, for  $n, m > k$ ,

$$\|x_n - x_m\| = \|(x_n - x) + (x - x_m)\| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x\| + \|x_m - x\|}_{\text{using (I)}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Thus,  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$

### Definition 2.38.

Let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a sequence in a normed space  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ . Then  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is said to be **bounded sequence** if  $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, k > 0$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq k, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

**Theorem 2.39.**

Every Cauchy sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon = 1$ . Since  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\|x_n - x_m\| < 1, \forall n, m > k$ . Hence,  $\|x_n - x_{k+1}\| < 1, \forall n > k$  (by considering  $m = k + 1$ ) **(I)**

By Theorem 2.3(4), we have  $|\|x_n\| - \|x_{k+1}\|| \leq \underbrace{\|x_n - x_{k+1}\|}_{\text{using (I)}} < 1 \quad \forall n > k$

Thus,  $\|x_n\| - \|x_{k+1}\| < 1 \quad \forall n > k$

Then,  $\|x_n\| < 1 + \|x_{k+1}\| \quad \forall n > k$

Let  $M = \max\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_k\|, 1 + \|x_{k+1}\|\}$

Hence,  $\|x_n\| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . So,  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is bounded.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.40.**

Every convergent sequence in a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  is bounded.

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.37, Every convergent sequence in a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  is Cauchy, and from Theorem 2.39, every Cauchy sequence in a normed space  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  is bounded.  $\square$

**2.6 Convexity in Normed Linear Space****Definition 2.41.**

A subset  $A$  of a linear space  $L$  is said to be **convex** if  $\forall x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1]$  then  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$ .

**Example 2.42.**

Let  $A = (1, 3) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Is  $A$  convex set?

**Solution:** Let  $x, y \in A, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\text{Since } 1 < x < 3 \implies 1\lambda < \lambda x < 3\lambda \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\text{Since } 1 < y < 3 \implies 1(1 - \lambda) < (1 - \lambda)y < 3(1 - \lambda) \quad (\text{II})$$

By summing up (I) and (II)

$$\lambda + (1 - \lambda) < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < 3\lambda + 3(1 - \lambda)$$

$$1 < \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y < 3$$

Thus,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$ . Hence,  $A$  is convex set.

**Proposition 2.43.**

Let  $L$  linear space. Then

- (1) Every subspace of  $L$  is convex
- (2) If  $A, B \subset L$  are convex sets then  $A \cap B$  is convex (**H.W.**)
- (3) If  $A, B \subset L$  are convex sets then  $A + B$  is convex

*Proof.* (1) Let  $L$  be a linear space over a field  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $C$ , let  $A$  be a subspace of  $L$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.13,  $\forall x, y \in A$  and  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in F$  we have  $\alpha x + \beta y \in A$ .

Take  $\alpha = \lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $\beta = 1 - \lambda$ . Hence,  $\alpha x + \beta y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in A$ .

Thus,  $A$  is a convex set.

(3) Let  $a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2 \in A + B$ , then  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $b_1, b_2 \in B$ .

To prove  $\lambda(a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

Since  $A$  convex and  $a_1, a_2 \in A \implies \lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 \in A \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{I})$

Since  $B$  convex and  $b_1, b_2 \in B \implies \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in B \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$  (II)

By summing up (I) and (II) we get

$$\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 + \lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in A + B$$

i.e.,  $\lambda(a_1 + b_1) + (1 - \lambda)(a_2 + b_2) \in A + B$ . Thus,  $A + B$  is a convex set.  $\square$

**Remark 2.44.**

The union of two convex sets is not necessary convex. For example, let

$A = (3, 7) \cup (7, 12)$ . Then  $A$  is not convex. To show this, take  $x = 6, y = 8, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \frac{1}{2}(6) + \frac{1}{2}(8) = 7 \notin A \cup B$ .

**Proposition 2.45.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed linear space, let  $x_0 \in L$ . Then  $B_r(x_0)$  and  $\overline{B}_r(x_0)$  are convex sets.

*Proof.* To prove  $B_r(x_0)$  is a convex set. Let  $x, y \in B_r(x_0)$ , and let  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

Then,

$$\|x - x_0\| < r \text{ and } \|y - x_0\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

We must prove  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B_r(x_0)$ ; that is we must prove

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| < r$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| &= \|\lambda x + \lambda x_0 - \lambda x_0 + (1 - \lambda)y - x_0\| \quad (\text{adding and} \\ &\text{subtracting } \lambda x_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \|\lambda(x - x_0) + (1 - \lambda)(y - x_0)\|$$

$$\leq |\lambda| \|(x - x_0)\| + |1 - \lambda| \|y - x_0\| < \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r = r$$

(by (I) and since  $\lambda > 0$  then  $|\lambda| = \lambda, |1 - \lambda| = 1 - \lambda$ )

Thus,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in B_r(x_0)$  and hence  $B_r(x_0)$  is convex. Similarly,  $\overline{B}_r(x_0)$

is a convex set.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.46.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed linear space and  $A \subseteq L$  and convex then  $\bar{A}$  is a convex set.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \bar{A}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . To prove  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \bar{A}$

Let  $r > 0$ . Since  $x, y \in \bar{A}$  then by Proposition 2.31,  $\exists a, b \in A$  such that

$$\|x - a\| < r \text{ and } \|x - b\| < r \quad (\text{I})$$

Since  $A$  is convex then  $\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \in A$

$$\text{Now, } \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y - (\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)\| = \|\lambda(x - a) + (1 - \lambda)(y - b)\|$$

$$\leq \lambda \|x - a\| + (1 - \lambda) \|y - b\|$$

$$< \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \quad (\text{from (I)})$$

$$= r$$

$$\text{Thus, } \left\| \left( \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \right) - \underbrace{(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)}_{\in A} \right\| < r$$

Thus, from Proposition 2.31,  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \bar{A}$ . □

**Remark 2.47.**

The converse of the above proposition is not true. For example, let  $A = [1, 2) \cup (2, 5] \subset (\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$  then  $\bar{A} = [1, 5]$  is a convex set. But  $A$  is not convex, since if  $x = 1, y = 3, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(3) = 2 \notin A$ .

## 2.7 Continuity in Normed Linear Space

### Definition 2.48.

Let  $L, L'$  be normed linear spaces. A mapping  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  is called **continuous** at  $x_0 \in L$  if for each  $\epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  (depend on  $x_0$ ) such that

$$\forall x \in L, \text{ if } \|x - x_0\| < \delta \text{ then } \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon.$$

i.e.,  $\forall x \in L, \text{ if } x \in B_\delta(x_0) \text{ then } f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(x_0))$

### Theorem 2.49.

Let  $L, L'$  be normed linear spaces. A mapping  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in L$  iff  $\forall \langle x_n \rangle \in L$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  implies that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $f$  be a continuous mapping at  $x_0$  and let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a sequence in  $L$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ . To prove  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in X$

if  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$  then  $\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon$  (From continuity of  $f$  at  $x_0$ ).

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  and  $\delta > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\|x_n - x_0\| < \delta, \forall n > k$ .

Hence,  $\|f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon, \forall n > k$ ; that is  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  implies that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ . To prove  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

Assume that  $f$  is not continuous at  $x_0$ , so  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that  $\forall \delta > 0, \exists x \in X$  and

$$\|x - x_0\| < \delta \text{ but } \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| \geq \epsilon.$$

Now,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \frac{1}{n} > 0$ , then  $\exists x_n \in L$  such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ but } \|f(x_n) - f(x_0)\| \geq \epsilon. \text{ This means } x_n \rightarrow x_0 \text{ but } f(x_n) \not\rightarrow$$

$f(x_0)$  in  $L'$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.50.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space and let  $f : (L, \| \cdot \|) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$  such that  $f(x) = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L$ . Then  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  in  $L$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

$$\text{But } | \|x_n\| - \|x_0\| | \leq \|x_n - x_0\| \quad \forall n > k$$

$$\implies | \|x_n\| - \|x_0\| | < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\text{Using } (\mathbf{I}))$$

$$\implies |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > k \quad (\text{Using (since } f(x) = \|x\|))$$

$f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ ; that is  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . □

**Remark 2.51.**

Let  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  be normed spaces and let  $f : L_1 \times L_2 \rightarrow L_3$  be a mapping. Then  $f$  is continuous at  $(x_0, y_0) \in L_1 \times L_2$  iff whenever  $\langle (x_n, y_n) \rangle \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$  then  $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow f(x_0, y_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.52.**

Let  $L$  be a normed space over a field  $F$ . Then

(1) The mapping  $f : L \times L \rightarrow L$  such that  $f(x, y) = x + y \quad \forall x, y \in L$  is continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

(2) The mapping  $g : F \times L \rightarrow L$  such that  $g(\lambda, x) = \lambda x \quad \forall x \in L, \forall \lambda \in F$  is continuous at  $(\lambda, x_0)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)$ . Then,  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$  such that

$$\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \|y_n - y_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\text{Now, } \|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_0, y_0)\| = \|(x_n + y_n) - (x_0 + y_0)\|$$

$$= \|(x_n - x_0) + (y_n - y_0)\|$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x_0\| + \|y_n - y_0\|$$

Thus,  $\|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_0, y_0)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; that is  $f$  is continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ . Since  $(x_0, y_0)$  is arbitrary,  $f$  is continuous at  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

(2) Let  $(\lambda_n, x_n) \rightarrow (\lambda, x_0)$ . Then,  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ .

Hence,  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(\lambda_n, x_n) - g(\lambda, x_0)\| &= \|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x_0\| \\ &= \|\lambda_n x_n - \lambda_n x_0 + \lambda_n x_0 - \lambda x_0\| \\ &= \|\lambda_n(x_n - x_0) + (\lambda_n - \lambda)x_0\| \\ &\leq |\lambda_n| \|x_n - x_0\| + |\lambda_n - \lambda| \|x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

But  $\|x_n - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  so that

$\|g(\lambda_n, x_n) - g(\lambda, x_0)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; that is  $g(\lambda_n, x_n) \rightarrow g(\lambda, x_0)$ . Thus,  $g$  is continuous at  $(\lambda, x_0)$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 2.53.

Let  $L, L'$  be normed spaces and let  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation.

If  $f$  is continuous at 0 the  $f$  is continuous at any point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point and let  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ .

To prove  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$  (using Theorem 2.49).

Since  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ , then  $x_n - x_0 \rightarrow 0$

But  $f$  is continuous at 0, thus  $f(x_n - x_0) \rightarrow f(0)$

Since  $f$  is a linear transformation, then  $f(x_n) - f(x_0) \rightarrow f(0)$

It follows that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ .  $\square$



**Remark 2.54.**

The condition  $f$  is a linear transformation in the above theorem is necessary condition. For example: consider the normed space  $(\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$ . Let  $f$  is defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \leq 1 \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $f$  is continuous at 0 and discontinuous at 1.

Also  $f$  is not linear transformation because

$$f(5 + 6) = f(11) = 11 + 1 = 12$$

$$\text{and } f(5) + f(6) = (5 + 1) + (6 + 1) = 13$$

$$\text{Hence } f(5 + 6) \neq f(5) + f(6)$$

**Theorem 2.55.**

Let  $L$  and  $L'$  be normed spaces and let  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation. Then either  $f$  is continuous at each point or discontinuous at each point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_1 \in L$  and assume that  $f$  is continuous at  $x_1$ . Let  $x_2 \in L$  be any point. To prove that  $f$  is continuous at  $x_2$ . Let  $x_n \rightarrow x_2$  in  $L$ . Then,  $x_n - x_2 \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $x_n - x_2 + x_1 \rightarrow x_1$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $x_1$  then  $f(x_n - x_2 + x_1) \rightarrow f(x_1)$ .

Since  $f$  is a linear transformation, then  $f(x_n) - f(x_2) + f(x_1) \rightarrow f(x_1)$ .

Hence,  $f(x_n) - f(x_2) \rightarrow 0$ , and thus,  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x_2)$ .

Therefore,  $f$  is continuous at  $x_2$ . Thus,  $f$  can not be continuous at some points and discontinuous at some points.  $\square$

**Example 2.56.**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Show that  $f$  is not continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

**Solution:** Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $y_n = \frac{-1}{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then,  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . But

$$f(x_n, y_n) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{-1}{n}\right)}{\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2}} = \frac{\frac{-1}{n^2}}{\frac{2}{n^2}} = \frac{-1}{2}$$

Hence,  $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow \frac{-1}{2}$  but  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Thus,  $f(x_n, y_n) \not\rightarrow f(0, 0)$ .

Thus,  $f$  is not continuous at  $(0, 0)$ .

**2.8 Boundedness in Normed Linear Space****Definition 2.57. Bounded Set**

Let  $L$  be a normed space and let  $A \subset L$ .  $A$  is called a **bounded set** if there exists  $k > 0$  such that  $\|x\| \leq k \quad \forall x \in A$ .

**Example 2.58.**

Consider  $(\mathbb{R}, | \cdot |)$  and let  $A = [-1, 1)$ . Since  $|x| \leq 1$ , then  $A$  is bounded.

**Example 2.59.**

Consider  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|)$  be a normed space such that

$\|X\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^2 |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  be the Euclidean norm, for each  $X = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Let  $A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : -1 \leq x \leq 1, y \geq 0\}$ . Then,  $A$  is unbounded.

**Theorem 2.60.**

Let  $L$  be a normed space and let  $A \subseteq L$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

(1)  $A$  is bounded.

(2) If  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $A$  and  $\langle \alpha_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $F$  such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  then  $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since  $A$  is bounded,  $\exists k > 0$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq k \quad \forall x_n \in A$ .

Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,

$$\|\alpha_n x_n - 0\| = \|\alpha_n x_n\| = |\alpha_n| \|x_n\| \leq |\alpha_n| k \quad (\text{since } \|x_n\| \leq k)$$

But  $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$ , thus  $|\alpha_n| k \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\|\alpha_n x_n - 0\| \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $\alpha_n x_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_X$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose  $A$  is not bounded. Then,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists x_k \in A$  such that

$$\|x_k\| > k.$$

Put  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k}$ . Hence,  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ . But

$$\|\alpha_k x_k\| = \left\| \frac{1}{k} x_k \right\| = \frac{1}{k} \|x_k\| > \frac{1}{k} \cdot k = 1$$

Then,  $\|\alpha_k x_k\| > 1$ , thus  $\alpha_k x_k \not\rightarrow 0$  which contradicts (2).  $\square$

**Definition 2.61. Bounded Mapping**

Let  $L, L'$  be two normed space and  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation.

$f$  is called **bounded mapping** if for each  $A \subseteq L$  bounded then  $f(A)$  is bounded set in  $Y \quad \forall A \subseteq L$ .

**Example 2.62.**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x, y) = x + y \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $f$  is a linear transformation (**H.W.**). Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $A$  is bounded. Show that  $f(A)$  is bounded.

**Solution:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $A$  is bounded, then  $\exists k \geq 0$  such that  $\|(x, y)\| \leq k \quad \forall (x, y) \in A \implies (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq k \implies x^2 + y^2 \leq k^2$

Since  $x^2 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq k^2$ , then  $x^2 \leq k^2 \implies |x| \leq k \quad \text{(I)}$

Similarly,  $y^2 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq k^2$ , then  $y^2 \leq k^2 \implies |y| \leq k \quad \text{(II)}$

Note that  $\forall (x, y) \in A \implies f(x, y) = x + y \in f(A)$

$$|f(x, y)| = |x + y| \leq \underbrace{|x| + |y|}_{\text{by (I) and (II)}} \leq k + k = 2k$$

i.e.,  $|f(x, y)| \leq 2k$ . Thus,  $f(A)$  is bounded, and hence,  $f$  is bounded.

### Theorem 2.63.

Let  $L, L'$  be normed spaces and  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation.

Then  $f$  is bounded iff  $\exists k > 0$  such that  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) If  $f$  is bounded and let  $A = \{x \in L : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

It is clear  $A$  is bounded, and hence,  $f(A)$  is bounded in  $Y$  (by definition of bnd function).

Thus,  $\exists k > 0$  such that  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \quad \forall x \in A \quad \text{(I)}$

(1) If  $x = \mathbf{0}_X$  then  $f(\mathbf{0}_X) = \mathbf{0}_Y$ , and thus,  $\|f(\mathbf{0}_X)\| = 0 \leq k \|\mathbf{0}_X\| = 0$ .

(2) If  $x \neq \mathbf{0}_X$ , put  $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$  such that  $\|y\| = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \cdot \|x\| = 1$ .

Hence,  $y \in A$ . Thus,  $\|f(y)\| \leq k \quad \text{(II)}$

$$\|f(y)\| = \left\| f\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\|x\|} f(x) \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \|f(x)\|$$

By (II),  $\|f(y)\| \leq k$ , thus  $\frac{1}{\|x\|} \|f(x)\| \leq k$ . i.e.,  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\|$  as required.

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $A$  be a bounded set. Then,  $\exists k_1 > 0$  such that  $\|x\| \leq k_1 \quad \forall x \in A$

Since  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$ , hence  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in A$ . Then we

get  $\|f(x)\| \leq k k_1 \quad \forall x \in A$ . Thus,  $\|f(x)\| \leq k_2 \quad \forall x \in A$  where  $k_2 = k k_1$ ;

that is,  $f(A)$  is a bounded set.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.64.**

Let  $L, L'$  be normed spaces and  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation.

Then  $f$  is bounded if and only if  $f$  is continuous.

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $f$  is continuous and not bounded,

hence  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \exists x_n \in L$  such that  $\|f(x_n)\| > n \|x_n\|$ .

Let  $y_n = \frac{x_n}{n\|x_n\|}$ . Then,  $\|f(y_n)\| = \left\| f\left(\frac{x_n}{n\|x_n\|}\right) \right\| = \frac{\|f(x_n)\|}{n\|x_n\|} > \frac{n\|x_n\|}{n\|x_n\|} = 1$

Thus,  $\|f(y_n) - f(0)\| = \|f(y_n)\| > 1$ , i.e.,  $f(y_n) \not\rightarrow f(0)$  **(I)**

but  $\|y_n\| = \left\| \frac{x_n}{n\|x_n\|} \right\| = \frac{\|x_n\|}{n\|x_n\|} = \frac{1}{n}$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , and hence,  $y_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}_X$ .

It follows that  $f(y_n) \rightarrow \underbrace{f(\mathbf{0}_X)}_{\text{By Theorem 1.19(i)}} = \mathbf{0}_Y$  (Since  $f$  is a linear transformation)

This contradicts **(I)**, thus,  $f$  is bounded.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $f$  is bounded to prove  $f$  is continuous for all  $x \in L$ . Let

$x_0 \in L$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\forall x \in L, \|x - x_0\| < \delta \implies \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon.$$

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| = \|f(x - x_0)\| \quad (f \text{ is linear transformation})$$

Since  $f$  is bounded, then  $\exists k > 0$  s.t.  $\|f(x)\| \leq k \|x\| \quad \forall x \in L$  **(I)**

$$\text{Hence, } \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| = \underbrace{\|f(x - x_0)\|}_{\text{By (I)}} \leq k \|x - x_0\|$$

$$< k\delta \quad (\text{Since } \|x - x_0\| < \delta)$$

$$= k \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{k} \quad (\text{By choosing } \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{k} = \epsilon)$$

Thus,  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta \implies \|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < \epsilon$ .

Hence,  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0 \in L$ . Since  $x_0$  is an arbitrary, then  $f$  is

cont.  $\forall x \in L$ . □

**Theorem 2.65.**

Let  $L, L'$  be normed spaces and  $f : L \rightarrow L'$  be a linear transformation. If  $L'$  is a finite dimensional space then  $f$  is bounded (hence, continuous).

**Example 2.66.**

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $f(x, y) = x + y \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

$f$  is a linear transformation function (check!)

and  $\dim(\mathbb{R}^2) = 2$ . Hence,  $f$  is bounded (hence, continuous).

**2.9 Bounded Linear Transformation****Definition 2.67.**

Let  $L, L'$  be normed spaces over a field  $F$ . The set of all bounded linear transformation mappings from  $L$  to  $L'$  is defined as

$$B(L, L') = \{T : T : L \rightarrow L' \text{ is a linear bounded (hence, cont.) trans.}\}$$

**Theorem 2.68.**

Prove that  $B(L, L')$  is a linear subspace (over a field  $F$ ) of the space of linear transformation mappings with respect to usual addition and usual scalar multiplication.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in F$  and  $T_1, T_2 \in B(L, L')$ . To prove  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(L, L')$

Since  $T_1, T_2$  are linear transformations, then by Theorem 1.20(ii),  $\alpha T_1, \beta T_2$  are linear trans.

Now,  $\alpha T_1, \beta T_2$  are linear trans., by Theorem 1.20(i),  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$  is linear transformation.

Next, we show  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$  is bounded.

Since  $T_1, T_2$  are bounded, then  $\exists k_1, k_2 > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in X$  we have

$$\|T_1(x)\| \leq k_1 \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_2(x)\| \leq k_2 \|x\| \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

Then,  $\|(\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(x)\| = \|(\alpha T_1)(x) + (\beta T_2)(x)\|$

$$= \|\alpha.T_1(x) + \beta.T_2(x)\| \quad (\text{Definition of scalar multiplication})$$

$$\leq \|\alpha.T_1(x)\| + \|\beta.T_2(x)\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \|T_1(x)\| + |\beta| \|T_2(x)\|$$

$$\leq |\alpha| k_1 \|x\| + |\beta| k_2 \|x\|$$

$$= (|\alpha| k_1 + |\beta| k_2) \|x\| = k \|x\| \quad (k = |\alpha| k_1 + |\beta| k_2)$$

Hence,  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$  is bounded.

Since  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$  is bounded and linear transformation, then  $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 \in B(L, L')$  □

### Theorem 2.69.

Let  $L, L'$  be normed space. Prove that  $B(L, L')$  is a normed space such that  $\forall T \in B(L, L')$  we have

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\}$$

*Proof.* To prove  $\| \cdot \|$  is a norm on  $B(L, L')$

(1) since  $\|T(x)\|_{L'} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$ , then  $\|T\| \geq 0$ .

(2)  $\|T\| = 0 \iff \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} = 0$

$$\iff \|T(x)\|_{L'} = 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$$

$$\iff T(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1$$

$$\iff T = \hat{0}$$

(3) Let  $T_1, T_2 \in B(L, L')$

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_1 + T_2\| &= \sup\{\|(T_1 + T_2)(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L', \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|T_1(x)\|_{L'} + \|T_2(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in L}\{\|T_1(x)\|_{L'} : \|x\|_L \leq 1\} + \sup_{x \in L}\{\|T_2(x)\|_{L'} : \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \\ &= \|T_1\| + \|T_2\|\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(4) \quad \|\alpha T\| &= \sup\{\|(\alpha.T(x))\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \\ &= |\alpha| \sup\{\|T(x)\|_{L'} : x \in L, \|x\|_L \leq 1\} \\ &= |\alpha| \|T\|\end{aligned}$$

□

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED



# Chapter 3

## Banach Space

### Definition 3.1.

Let  $L$  be a normed space. Then,  $L$  is **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in  $L$  is convergent to a point in  $L$ . The complete normed space is called **Banach space**.

### 3.1 Examples of Banach Space

#### Example 3.2.

The space  $F^n = \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) with the norm  $\|X\| = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a Banach space.

**Solution:** Let  $\langle X_m \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $F^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_m \rangle &= \langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, \dots \rangle \\ &= \langle (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}), (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n}), \dots, (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \dots \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\|X_m - X_j\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k \quad \text{(I)}$

Since  $X_m, X_j \in F^n$ , then

$$X_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \quad x_{mi} \in F, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$X_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jn}), \quad x_{ji} \in F, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$X_m - X_j = (x_{m1} - x_{j1}, x_{m2} - x_{j2}, \dots, x_{mn} - x_{jn})$$

From (I),  $\|X_m - X_j\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k$

$$\|X_m - X_j\|^2 < \epsilon^2 \quad \forall m, j > k$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_{ji}|^2 < \epsilon^2 \quad \forall m, j > k$$

$$|x_{mi} - x_{ji}|^2 < \epsilon^2 \quad \forall m, j > k, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$|x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

Hence,  $\langle x_{mi} \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F$ ,  $\forall i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then,  $\langle x_{mi} \rangle$  is convergent to  $x_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Thus, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists k_i \in Z_+$  such that  $|x_{mi} - x_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall m_i > k_i$

Put  $l = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Then

$$|x_{mi} - x_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \forall m > l, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$|x_{mi} - x_i|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{n} \quad \forall m > l, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\|X_m - X\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{mi} - x_i|^2 < n \frac{\epsilon^2}{n} \quad \forall m > l$$

$$\|X_m - X\| < \epsilon, \quad \forall m > l.$$

Thus,  $\langle X_m \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $F^n$  and  $X_m \rightarrow X$ . Thus,  $F^n$  is a Banach space.

### Example 3.3.

Show that  $(F^n, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space where  $F^n = \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$  and  $\|X\| = [\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ),  $p \geq 1$ . (H.W.)

### Example 3.4.

The space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) with the norm  $\|X\| = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$ ,  $\forall X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) is a Banach space.

**Solution:** Let  $\langle X_m \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $F^n$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle X_m \rangle &= \langle X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m, \dots \rangle \\ &= \langle (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}), (x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2n}), \dots, (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \dots \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in Z_+$  such that  $\|X_m - X_j\| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, j > k \quad (\mathbf{I})$

Since  $X_m, X_j \in F^n$ , then

$$X_m = (x_{m1}, x_{m2}, \dots, x_{mn}), \quad x_{mi} \in F, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$X_j = (x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \dots, x_{jn}), \quad x_{ji} \in F, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$X_m - X_j = (x_{m1} - x_{j1}, x_{m2} - x_{j2}, \dots, x_{mn} - x_{jn})$$

Then,  $\|X_m - X_j\| = \max\{|x_{m1} - x_{j1}|, \dots, |x_{mn} - x_{jn}|\} < \epsilon, \quad \forall m, j > k.$

It follows that  $|x_{mi} - x_{ji}| < \epsilon \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\forall m, j > k.$

Hence,  $\langle x_{mi} \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $C$ ). So it is convergent to  $x_i$  in  $F \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$

Hence, for any  $\epsilon > 0, \exists k_i \in Z_+$  such that  $|x_{mi} - x_i| < \epsilon \quad \forall m_i > k_i$

Put  $l = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$ . Then, for each  $\epsilon > 0$

$$|x_{mi} - x_i| < \epsilon \quad \forall m > l, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0, \|X_m - X\| = \max\{|x_{m1} - x_1|, \dots, |x_{mn} - x_n|\} < \epsilon \quad \forall m > l$

Thus,  $\langle X_m \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) and  $X_m \rightarrow X.$

Thus,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) is a Banach space.

### Example 3.5.

The space  $C[a, b]$  with the norm  $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} \quad \forall f \in C[a, b]$  is a Banach space.

**Solution:** Let  $\langle f_n \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $C[a, b]$

Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in Z_+$  such that  $\|f_n - f_m\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k \quad (\mathbf{I})$

Hence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in Z_+$  such that  $\max\{|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| : x \in C[a, b]\} <$

$$\epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

It follows that  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in C[a, b] \quad \forall n, m > k$

Hence,  $\langle f_n(x) \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Banach space, then  $\langle f_n(x) \rangle$  is convergent to  $f(x)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq k$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|f_n - f\| = \max\{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq k$$

Hence,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $C[a, b]$  is a Banach space.

### Example 3.6.

The space  $C[0, 1]$  with the norm  $\|f\| = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$  is not a Banach space.

**Solution:** The space  $(C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space (see, Example 2.9).

Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ -nx + \frac{1}{2}n + 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < x \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $n \geq 2$ . Then,  $f_n$  is continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Now, for all

$n, m \geq 2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_m\| &= \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |1 - 1| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x)| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_m(x)| dx \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_n(x)| dx &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}} (-nx + \frac{n}{2} + 1) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}}^1 0 dx \\ &= \left[ \frac{-nx^2}{2} + \frac{nx}{2} + x \right]_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \frac{-n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right)^2 + \frac{n}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) - \frac{1}{2n} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II})$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f_m(x)| dx = \frac{1}{2n} \quad (\text{III})$$

Substitute (II) and (III) in (I) to get  $\|f_n - f_m\| \leq \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2m} \rightarrow 0$

as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$

Thus,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence. From the definition of  $f_n$ , we note that  $f_n \rightarrow g$  where

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

But  $g$  is not continuous. Thus,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  does not converge in  $C[0, 1]$ . Then  $(C[0, 1], \| \cdot \|)$  is not a Banach space.

## 3.2 Some Properties of Banach Space

### Theorem 3.7.

Let  $L$  be a Banach space and let  $H$  be a subspace of  $L$ . Then,  $H$  is a Banach space if and only if  $H$  is a closed set in  $L$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $H$  is a Banach space T.P.  $H = \overline{H}$ . We know that  $H \subseteq \overline{H}$

Let  $x \in \overline{H}$ , then by Theorem 2.34,  $\exists \langle x_n \rangle \in H$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$

Hence,  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$ . Then,  $\exists y \in H$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow y$

Thus,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n \rightarrow y$ , so  $x = y$ . Thus,  $x \in H$  (i.e.,  $\overline{H} \subseteq H$ ).

Therefore,  $H = \overline{H}$  (i.e.,  $H$  is closed).

$\Leftarrow$ ) If  $H$  is a closed set. Let  $\langle x_n \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $H$ , so that  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L$ . Hence, it converges; that is  $\exists x \in L$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . But  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is a sequence in  $H$ . By Theorem 2.34,  $x \in \overline{H} = H$ . i.e.,  $x \in H$ . Thus,  $H$  is a Banach space.  $\square$

### Theorem 3.8.

Every finite dimensional normed space is a Banach space.

### Corollary 3.9.

Every finite dimensional subspace of a Banach space is closed set.

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a Banach space and let  $H$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $L$ . Then, by Theorem 3.8,  $H$  is a Banach space. From Theorem 3.7,  $H$  is a closed set.  $\square$

**Definition 3.10. Quotient Space**

Let  $L$  be a linear space over  $F$ . Let  $H$  be a subspace of  $L$ .

Let  $L/H = \{x + H : x \in L\}$

Define addition and scalar multiplication by

$$(x_1 + H) + (x_2 + H) = (x_1 + x_2) + H \quad \forall x_1 + H, x_2 + H \in L/H$$

$$\alpha.(x_1 + H) = \alpha.x_1 + H \quad \forall x_1 + H \in L/H \text{ and } \forall \alpha \in F.$$

**Proposition 3.11.**

Prove that  $(L/H, +, \cdot)$  is a linear space over  $F$ . **(H.W.)**

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED

**Theorem 3.12.**

Let  $(L, \| \cdot \|)$  and  $H \subseteq L$  be a closed set. Then  $(L/H, +, \cdot)$  is a normed space with  $\| \cdot \|_1$  where

$$\|x + H\|_1 = \inf\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\}.$$

*Proof.* (1) T.P.  $\|x + H\|_1 \geq 0$

For any  $x + H \in L/H$

$$\|x + y\| \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in H$$

$$\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} \geq 0$$

$$\|x + H\|_1 = \inf\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} \geq 0$$

(2) T.P.  $\|x + H\|_1 = 0 \iff x + H = H = 0_{L/H}$

( $\implies$ ) If  $\|x + H\|_1 = 0 \implies \inf\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} = 0$

Hence,  $\exists \langle y_n \rangle \in H$  such that  $\|x + y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $x + y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus,  $y_n \rightarrow -x$ . Thus,  $\exists \langle y_n \rangle \in H$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow -x$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.34,  $-x \in \overline{H}$ .

Since  $H$  is closed, then  $-x \in \overline{H} = H$ , i.e.,  $-x \in H$ .

Since  $H$  is a subspace then  $x \in H$  and  $x + H = H$ , that is,  $x + H = 0_{L/H}$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) If  $x + H = H = 0_{L/H}$  then  $x \in H$ . i.e.,  $x + H \in H \quad \forall y \in H$

$$\text{Hence, } \|x + H\|_1 = \inf\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\} = \inf\{\|z\| : z \in H\}$$

Since  $0 \in H$  and  $\|0\| = 0$ , so  $\inf\{\|z\| : z \in H\} = 0$ . Thus,  $\|x + H\|_1 = 0$ .

(3) T.P.  $\|\alpha(x + H)\|_1 = |\alpha| \|x + H\|_1$

If  $\alpha = 0$  then (3) holds

If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then

$$\|\alpha(x + H)\|_1 = \inf\{\|\alpha(x + y)\| : y \in H\}$$



$$= \inf\{|\alpha| \|x + y\| : y \in H\}$$

$$= |\alpha| \inf\{\|x + y\| : y \in H\}$$

(If  $A$  is bounded below, then  $\inf(\alpha A) = \alpha \inf(A)$ )

$$= |\alpha| \|x + H\|_1$$

(4) Let  $x_1 + H, x_2 + H \in L/H$

$$\|(x_1 + H) + (x_2 + H)\|_1 = \|(x_1 + x_2) + H\|_1$$

$$= \inf\{\|x_1 + x_2 + y\| : y \in H\}$$

$$= \inf\{\|x_1 + x_2 + z_1 + z_2\| : z_1, z_2 \in H\}$$

$$\leq \inf\{\|x_1 + z_1\| + \|x_2 + z_2\| : z_1, z_2 \in H\}$$

$$= \inf\{\|x_1 + z_1\| : z_1 \in H\} + \inf\{\|x_2 + z_2\| : z_2 \in H\}$$

$$= \|x_1 + H\|_1 + \|x_2 + H\|_1$$

Thus,  $L/H$  is a normed space. □

### Proposition 3.13.

If  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space and  $H$  is a closed subspace of  $L$ . Then  $(L/H, \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.*  $L/H = \{x + H : x \in L\}$ . Let  $\langle X_n \rangle$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L/H$ .

Then,  $X_n = x_n + H$ , where  $x_n \in L$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|X_n - X_m\|_1 < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

$$\text{so, } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } \|x_n - x_m + H\|_1 < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

Then,  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that

$$\inf\{\|x_n - x_m + y\| : y \in H\} < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m > k$$

This implies,  $\forall y \in H$ ,  $\langle x_n + y \rangle$  is a Cauchy in  $L$

Since  $L$  is a Banach space, then  $\exists z \in L$  such that  $x_n + y \rightarrow z = (z - y) + y$   
 $= w + y \quad \forall y \in H$

Thus,  $x_n + H \rightarrow w + H$ . Thus,  $L/H$  is a Banach space.  $\square$

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED

# Chapter 4

## Inner Product Space

### Definition 4.1.

Let  $L$  is a linear space over  $F$ . A mapping  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow F$  is called an **inner product on  $L$**  if the following axioms hold

$$(1) \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L.$$

$$(2) \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = 0.$$

$$(3) \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in L, \text{ where } \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \text{conjugate of } \langle x, y \rangle.$$

$$(4) \langle \alpha x + \beta y, z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, z \rangle + \beta \langle y, z \rangle \quad \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

$(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called **inner product space** (briefly, I.P.S) or **Pre-Hilbert space**.

### Remark 4.2.

$$(1) \text{ If } F = \mathbb{R} \text{ then axiom (3) becomes } \langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

(2) Every subspace of inner product space is an inner product space.

## 4.1 Examples of Inner Product Space

### Example 4.3.

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow F$  is defined as  $\langle X, Y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  where  $X = (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2)$ . Show that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Solution:** (i) We check the I.P.S axioms

$$(1) \langle X, X \rangle = x_1^2 + x_2^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall X = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$(2) \langle X, X \rangle = 0 \iff x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 0 \iff x_1 = x_2 = 0 \iff X = (0, 0)$$

$$(3) \langle X, Y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 = \overline{\langle X, Y \rangle} \quad (\text{since } F = \mathbb{R})$$

$$(4) \text{ Let } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and let } X = (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2), Z = (z_1, z_2)$$

$$\langle \alpha X + \beta Y, Z \rangle = \langle (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \alpha x_2 + \beta y_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle$$

$$= (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1)z_1 + (\alpha x_2 + \beta y_2)z_2$$

$$= (\alpha x_1 z_1 + \alpha x_2 z_2) + (\beta y_1 z_1 + \beta y_2 z_2)$$

$$= \alpha(x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2) + \beta(y_1 z_1 + y_2 z_2)$$

$$= \alpha \langle X, Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y, Z \rangle$$

Thus,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### As an application to Example 4.3:

Let  $X = (2, 1), Y = (0, -3), Z = (3, 4)$ . Find  $\langle X, Z \rangle, \langle X, X \rangle, \langle X + Y, Z \rangle$ .

**Remark 4.4.**

As a generalization of Example 4.3, let  $L = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as  $\langle X, Y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \dots + x_ny_n \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ . Then,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an inner product space (**check!**). The space  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called **usual inner space**.

**Example 4.5.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$ , which of the following is an inner product on  $L$ .

(i)  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 3x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$  (**H.W.**)

(ii)  $\langle X, Y \rangle = x_1^2y_1^2 + x_2^2y_2^2$

where  $X = (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2)$

**Solution:** (i) We check the I.P.S axioms

(ii) The first three axioms of the definition of inner product hold but the fourth condition does not satisfy.

If  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  and let  $X = (1, -1), Y = (-1, 0), Z = (-2, 2)$ . Then

$$\langle \alpha X + \beta Y, Z \rangle = \langle (0, -1), (-2, 2) \rangle = 0^2(-2)^2 + (-1)^2 2^2 = 4$$

$$\text{and } \alpha \langle X, Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle (1, -1), (-2, 2) \rangle + \langle (-1, 0), (-2, 2) \rangle$$

$$= 1^1 \cdot (-2)^2 + (-1)^2 \cdot 2^2 + (-1)^2 \cdot 2^2 + 0^2 \cdot 2^2 = 12$$

Thus,  $\langle \alpha X + \beta Y, Z \rangle \neq \alpha \langle X, Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y, Z \rangle$ .

**Example 4.6.**

Let  $L = F^n$  be a linear space and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : F^n \times F^n \rightarrow F$  defined as

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i \quad \forall X, Y \in F^n \text{ where } X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Show that  $\langle , \rangle$  is an inner product on  $F^n$ .

**Solution:**

$$(1) \langle X, X \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 \geq 0$$

$$(2) \langle X, X \rangle = 0 \iff \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = 0 \iff x_i = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\iff X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (0, \dots, 0) = 0_{F^n}$$

$$(3) \langle \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \rangle = \overline{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{x}_i = \langle Y, X \rangle$$

(4) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in F$  and let  $X, Y, Z \in F^n$

$$\alpha X + \beta Y = (\alpha x_1 + \beta y_1, \dots, \alpha x_n + \beta y_n)$$

$$\langle \alpha X + \beta Y, Z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha x_i + \beta y_i) \bar{z}_i = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{z}_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \bar{z}_i = \alpha \langle X, Z \rangle + \beta \langle Y, Z \rangle.$$

Thus,  $\langle , \rangle$  is an inner product on  $F^n$ .

**As an application to Example 4.6:**

Let  $L = C^2$  and  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i \bar{y}_i \quad \forall X, Y \in C^2$  where  $X = (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2)$ . If  $X = (2 + 3i, 1 + i), Y = (1 + i, 1 - i), Z = (2, 1 + i)$

Find  $\langle X, X \rangle, \langle X + Y, Z \rangle, \langle X, Y + Z \rangle$

**Solution:**  $\langle X, X \rangle = (2 + 3i)(\overline{2 + 3i}) + (1 + i)(\overline{1 + i})$

$$= (2 + 3i)(2 - 3i) + (1 + i)(1 - i)$$

$$= (4 + 9) + (1 + 1) = 15$$

$$X + Y = (3 + 4i, 2)$$

$$\langle X + Y, Z \rangle = (3 + 4i)2 + 2(\overline{1 + i}) = (6 + 8i) + 2(1 - i) = 8 + 6i$$

$$\langle X, Y + Z \rangle =$$

**Example 4.7.**

Let  $L = C[0, 1]$  be a linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx$ . Prove that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product on  $L$ .

**Solution:** (1)  $\langle f, f \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)f(x) dx = \int_0^1 [f(x)]^2 dx \geq 0$

$$(2) \langle f, f \rangle = 0 \iff \int_0^1 [f(x)]^2 dx = 0 \iff [f(x)]^2 = 0 \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

$$\iff f(x) = 0 \forall x \in [0, 1] \iff f = \hat{0}$$

(3) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f, g, h \in L$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha f + \beta g, h \rangle &= \int_0^1 (\alpha f + \beta g)(x)h(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) h(x) dx \\ &= \alpha \int_0^1 f(x) h(x) dx + \beta \int_0^1 g(x) h(x) dx \\ &= \alpha \langle f, h \rangle + \beta \langle g, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx = \int_0^1 g(x)f(x) dx = \langle g, f \rangle$$

**As an application to Example 4.7:**

Let  $f(x) = x + 1$ ,  $g(x) = x^2$ ,  $h(x) = 3x + 2 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$

Find  $\langle f, f \rangle, \langle f + g, h \rangle, \langle f, h \rangle, \langle 2f + 3g, h \rangle, \langle f - g, h - g \rangle$

**Example 4.8.**

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = |xy| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Is

$(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  I.P.S? (**H.W.**)

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED



## 4.2 Some Properties of Inner Product Space

### Theorem 4.9.

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an inner product space (I.P.S). Then,  $\forall x, y, z \in L$

$$(1) \langle x, \mathbf{0}_X \rangle = \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle = 0$$

$$(2) \langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x, z \rangle.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle = \langle \mathbf{0}_X + \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle$

$$= \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle + \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle$$

Hence,  $\langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle + 0 = \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle + \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle$

Thus,  $0 = \langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle$  (I)

Now,  $\overline{\langle \mathbf{0}_X, x \rangle} = \langle x, \mathbf{0}_X \rangle$

$$\bar{0} = \langle x, \mathbf{0}_X \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle x, \mathbf{0}_X \rangle$$

(2)  $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \overline{\langle \alpha y + \beta z, x \rangle}$

$$= \overline{\alpha \langle y, x \rangle + \beta \langle z, x \rangle}$$

$$= \bar{\alpha} \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \bar{\beta} \overline{\langle z, x \rangle}$$

$$= \bar{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle x, z \rangle$$

□

### Corollary 4.10.

If  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. Then

$$(i) \langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \quad \text{where } x_1, \dots, x_n, y \in L$$

$$(ii) \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i y_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\beta}_i \langle x, y_i \rangle \quad \text{where } x, y_1, \dots, y_n \in L$$

$$(iii) \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\beta}_j \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \right)$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m \in L$

*Proof.* (i) We proof using induction.

If  $n = 1$  then  $\langle \alpha_1 x_1, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle$  (by definition of norm)

If  $n = 2$  then  $\langle \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2, y \rangle = \alpha_1 \langle x_1, y \rangle + \alpha_2 \langle x_2, y \rangle$  (by definition of norm)

Suppose (i) hold when  $n = k$

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i, y \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

To prove (i) hold when  $n = k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{T.p. } \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i, y \right\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \\ \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i x_i, y \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i + \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1}, y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i, y \right\rangle + \langle \alpha_{k+1} x_{k+1}, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle + \alpha_{k+1} \langle x_{k+1}, y \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \alpha_i \langle x_i, y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of (i).

(iii) Let  $z = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i, z \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle x_i, z \rangle \quad (\text{by part (i)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left\langle x_i, \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j y_j \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\beta}_j \langle x_i, y_j \rangle \right) \quad (\text{by part (ii)}) \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.11.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. such that  $\langle v_1, w \rangle = \langle v_2, w \rangle \quad \forall w \in L$ . Then  $v_1 = v_2$ . Also, if  $\langle v_1, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in L$  then  $v_1 = \mathbf{0}_X$ .

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\langle v_1 - v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle - \langle v_2, w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in L$ .

Put  $w = v_1 - v_2$ , then  $\langle v_1 - v_2, v_1 - v_2 \rangle = 0 \implies v_1 - v_2 = 0 \implies v_1 = v_2$ .

Now,  $\langle v_1, w \rangle = 0, \quad \forall w \in L \implies \langle v_1, v_1 \rangle = 0 \implies v_1 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12. General Cauchy Schwarz's Inequality**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. and let  $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \quad \forall x \in L$ . Then,

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

*Proof.* If  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$  then  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , and hence  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0 \leq \|x\| \|y\|$

If  $y \neq 0$ , put  $z = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$ . **(I)**

$$\begin{aligned} \|z\|^2 &= \langle z, z \rangle = \left\langle \frac{y}{\|y\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\|y\|^2} \langle y, y \rangle = \frac{1}{\|y\|^2} \|y\|^2 = 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{(II)}$$

Next, it is enough to show that  $|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$

because if  $|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$  then from **(I)**

$$|\langle x, z \rangle| = \left| \left\langle x, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \right| = \frac{1}{\|y\|} |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$$

Let  $\alpha \in F$  then  $\langle x - \alpha z, x - \alpha z \rangle \geq 0$

$$\langle x, x \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \langle z, z \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \underbrace{\|z\|^2}_{=1 \text{ from (I)}} \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - \langle x, z \rangle \overline{\langle x, z \rangle} + \langle x, z \rangle \overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha} \langle x, z \rangle - \alpha \langle z, x \rangle + \alpha \bar{\alpha} \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + \langle x, z \rangle (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \bar{\alpha}) - \alpha (\langle z, x \rangle - \bar{\alpha}) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + \langle x, z \rangle (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \alpha) - \alpha (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \alpha) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + (\langle x, z \rangle - \alpha) (\overline{\langle x, z \rangle} - \alpha) \geq 0$$

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 + |\langle x, z \rangle - \alpha|^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in F \quad \text{(III)}$$

Put  $\alpha = \langle x, z \rangle$ , then (III) becomes

$$\|x\|^2 - |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \implies |\langle x, z \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

$$|\langle x, z \rangle| \leq \|x\|$$

$$\left| \left\langle x, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \|x\| \quad (\text{using (I)})$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \frac{1}{\|y\|} \leq \|x\|$$

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\| .$$

□

### As an application to Theorem 4.12:

If  $L = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$  for any  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

Apply Cauchy Schwarz inequality.

**Sloution:** We have ,  $\|X\| = [\langle X, X \rangle]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $\|Y\| = [\langle Y, Y \rangle]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$

From Theorem 4.12,  $|\langle X, Y \rangle| \leq \|X\| \|Y\|$ ; that is

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \right| \leq \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

**Theorem 4.13.**

Every inner product space is a normed space and hence a metric space.

*Proof.* Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. and let the function  $\| \cdot \| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \quad \forall x \in L. \text{ To prove } \| \cdot \| \text{ is a norm on } L$$

$$(1) \text{ Since } \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L \implies \|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in L$$

$$(2) \|x\| = 0 \iff \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = 0 \iff \langle x, x \rangle = 0 \iff x = \mathbf{0}_X$$

(3) Let  $\alpha \in F$  and  $x \in L$

$$\|\alpha x\|^2 = \langle \alpha x, \alpha x \rangle = \alpha \bar{\alpha} \langle x, x \rangle = |\alpha|^2 \|x\|^2$$

Thus,  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$

(4) T.P.  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in L$

$$\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 + 2|\langle x, y \rangle| + \|y\|^2$$

$$\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{by Cauchy Schwarz})$$

$$= (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2$$

Thus,  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|.$

□

**Theorem 4.14.**

Let  $(l, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. and  $x, y \in l$ . Then

$$(1) \|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\text{Polarization Identity})$$

$$(2) \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \quad (\text{Law of Parallelogram})$$

$$(3) \langle x, y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2]$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} + \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$(2) \text{ T.P. } \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$$

$$\text{By part (1), } \|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\mathbf{I})$$

$$\|x - y\|^2 = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle - \langle y, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$$

$$= \|x\|^2 - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} - \langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2$$

$$= \|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \quad (\mathbf{II})$$

By summing up **(I)** and **(II)** we get  $\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$

(3) By parts (1) and (2), we have

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 - (\|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \\
&= \langle \overline{x}, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle \overline{x}, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle \\
&= 2\langle y, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle \quad (\mathbf{I})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + iy\|^2 &= \langle x + iy, x + iy \rangle \\
&= \langle x, x \rangle + i\langle y, x \rangle + \bar{i}\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\
&= \|x\|^2 + i\langle y, x \rangle - i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - iy\|^2 &= \langle x - iy, x - iy \rangle \\
&= \langle x, x \rangle - i\langle y, x \rangle - \bar{i}\langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\
&= \|x\|^2 - i\langle y, x \rangle + i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2 &= i[\|x\|^2 + i\langle y, x \rangle - i\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2] - i[\|x\|^2 - \\
&\quad i\langle y, x \rangle + i\langle x, y \rangle \\
&\quad + \|y\|^2] \\
&= i\|x\|^2 - \langle y, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + i\|y\|^2 - i\|x\|^2 - \langle y, x \rangle + \\
&\quad \langle x, y \rangle - i\|y\|^2 \\
&= 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle \quad (\mathbf{II})
\end{aligned}$$

By (I) and (II), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2 &= 2\langle y, x \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle + \\
2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i \|x + iy\|^2 - i \|x - iy\|^2 = 4\langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i \|x + iy\|^2 - i \|x - iy\|^2 = \langle x, y \rangle \quad \square$$

**Remark 4.15.**

Any normed linear space generated from inner product space must satisfies the three laws of Theorem 4.14.

**Example 4.16.**

Let  $L = C[a, b]$  and let  $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$ . Then the converse of Theorem 4.13. i.e.,

(1) Show that  $(L, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space (**H.W.**)

(2) Show that  $L$  is not generated by I.P.S (i.e,  $L$  is not I.P.S)

**Solution:** (2) To show that  $L$  is not I.P.S, we shall show that parallelogram law does not hold. i.e.,  $\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 \neq 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2$  for some  $f, g \in C[a, b]$ .

$$\text{Let } f(x) = 1 \text{ and } g(x) = \frac{x - a}{b - a} \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

Note that  $f, g$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Thus,  $f, g \in C[a, b]$ .

$$\|f\| = 1 \text{ and } \|g\| = 1$$

$$\|f + g\| = \left\| 1 + \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right\| = \max \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right| : x \in [a, b] \right\} = 2$$

$$\|f - g\| = \left\| 1 - \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right\| = \max \left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{x - a}{b - a} \right| : x \in [a, b] \right\} = 1$$

$$\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 = 4 + 1 = 5 \quad \text{(I)}$$

$$2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2 = 2.1^2 + 2.1^2 = 4 \quad \text{(II)}$$



By (I) and (II), we get  $\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 \neq 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2$

i.e.,  $5 \neq 4$

**Example 4.17.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\|X\| = |x_1| + |x_2| \quad \forall X = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then the converse of Theorem 4.13. i.e.,

(1) Show that  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed linear space (H.W.)

(2) Show that  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not generated by I.P.S (i.e,  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not I.P.S)

**Solution:** (2) To show that  $L$  is not I.P.S, we shall show that parallelogram law does not hold. i.e.,  $\|X + Y\|^2 + \|X - Y\|^2 \neq 2\|X\|^2 + 2\|Y\|^2$  for some  $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^2$

Let  $X = (2, 3)$  and  $Y = (-6, 1)$

$$\|X\| = |2| + |3| = 5 \implies 2\|X\|^2 = 50$$

$$\|Y\| = |-6| + |1| = 7 \implies 2\|Y\|^2 = 98$$

$$\|X + Y\| = \|(-4, 4)\| = |-4| + |4| = 8$$

$$\|X + Y\|^2 = 64$$

$$\|X - Y\| = \|(8, 2)\| = |8| + |2| = 10$$

$$\|X - Y\|^2 = 100$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|X + Y\|^2 + \|X - Y\|^2 = 64 + 100 = 164$$

$$\text{and } 2\|X\|^2 + 2\|Y\|^2 = 50 + 98 = 148$$

$$\text{Hence, } \|X + Y\|^2 + \|X - Y\|^2 \neq 2\|X\|^2 + 2\|Y\|^2$$

i.e.,  $\|\cdot\|$  does not satisfy parallelogram law.

**Example 4.18.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\|X\| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then

- (1) Show that  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \| \cdot \|)$  is a normed linear space (**H.W.**)
- (2) Is  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by I.P.S? (**H.W.**)

Dr. SABA NASER MAJEED

**Theorem 4.19.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. Then

- (1) If  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  and  $(y_n) \rightarrow y$  then  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$
- (2) If  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences in  $L$  then  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle = \langle x + (x_n - x), y + (y_n - y) \rangle$   
 $= \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle$   
 $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle$   
 $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| = |\langle x, y_n - y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle|$   
 $\leq |\langle x, y_n - y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, y \rangle| + |\langle x_n - x, y_n - y \rangle|$   
 $\leq \|x\| \|y_n - y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y\| + \|x_n - x\| \|y_n - y\|$  (By  
 Cauchy Schwarz)

But  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  and  $(y_n) \rightarrow y$  then  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|y_n - y\| \rightarrow 0$

Hence,  $|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ , and hence,  $\langle x_n, y_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$ .

(2) for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_n, y_n \rangle &= \langle (x_n - x_m) + x_m, (y_n - y_m) + y_m \rangle \\ &= \langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_n - \\ &x_m, y_m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle = \langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| &= |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle + \langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle x_n - x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| + |\langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| + |\langle x_m, y_n - y_m \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\| + \|x_m\| \|y_n - y_m\| + \|x_n - x_m\| \|y_m\| \quad (\text{By})$$

Cauchy Schwarz)

But  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, then  $\|x_n - x_m\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|y_n - y_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also,  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are bounded sequences, then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\langle x_n, y_n \rangle - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

### Corollary 4.20.

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is an I.P.S. Then

- (1) If  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  then  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$
- (2) If  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequences in  $L$  then  $\langle \|x_n\| \rangle$  is a convergent sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  then  $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  (By Theorem 4.19)

Hence,  $\|x_n\|^2 \rightarrow \|x\|^2$ . i.e.,  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$

(2) Since  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequences in  $L$ , then by Theorem 4.19(2),  $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $F$ . Since  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $C$  then  $F$  is complete. Thus,  $\langle \|x_n\|^2 \rangle$  is a convergent sequence in  $F$ . Thus,  $\langle \|x_n\| \rangle$  is a convergent sequence in  $F$  □

### 4.3 Hilbert Space

**Definition 4.21.**

Hilbert space is an I.P.S.  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  which is a Banach space with respect to  $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ .

**Example 4.22.**

Consider the I.P.S.  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (or  $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ) such that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i$  where  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n), Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ). (see Example 4.6)

Show that  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (or  $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ) is Hilbert space.

**Solution:** Since  $\sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle} = [\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{x}_i]^{\frac{1}{2}} = [\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|X\|$

From Example 3.2,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $C^n$ ) is a Banach space w.r.t.  $\|X\| = \sqrt{\langle X, X \rangle}$ , and thus,  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  (or  $(C^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ ) is a Hilbert space.

**Example 4.23.**

The space  $C[-1, 1]$  with the inner product defined by  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x) g(x) dx$  is not a Hilbert space.

**Solution:** Let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ nx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \langle f_n - f_m, f_n - f_m \rangle$$

Suppose  $n > m$ , then  $\frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m}$ . We must find  $f_n(x) - f_m(x)$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ nx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ mx & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{m} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then

$$f_n(x) - f_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ (n-m)x & \text{if } 0 < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 1-mx & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{m} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{m} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \int_{-1}^1 (f_n(x) - f_m(x))^2 dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} (n-m)^2 x^2 dx + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{m}} (1-mx)^2 dx$$

$$= \frac{(n-m)^2 x^3}{3} \Big|_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \left( \frac{-1}{m} \right) \frac{(1-mx)^3}{3} \Big|_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

$$= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3} \frac{1}{n^3} - \frac{1}{m} \left[ 0 - \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{m}{n} \right)^3 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^3} + \frac{1}{3m} \left(\frac{n-m}{n}\right)^3 \\
&= \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^2m}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \frac{(n-m)^2}{3n^2m}$

Since  $n > m$ , then  $n = m + t$

$$\|f_n - f_m\|^2 = \frac{t^2}{3(m+t)^2m} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence,  $\|f_n - f_m\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is a Cauchy sequence.

But  $f_n \rightarrow f$  where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $f \notin C[-1, 1]$ . Then,  $\langle f_n \rangle$  is not convergent in  $C[-1, 1]$ . i.e., The space is not Hilbert space.

**Remark 4.24.**

Every Hilbert space is a Banach space but the converse is not true. For example, the space  $C[a, b]$  with  $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$  is a Banach space (see Example 3.5). However,  $C[a, b]$  is not a Hilbert space since it does not satisfy parallelogram law; that is  $\| \cdot \|$  can not be obtained from inner product (see Example 4.16).

## 4.4 Orthogonality and Orthonormality in Inner Product Space

### Definition 4.25. *orthogonal Elements*

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and  $x, y \in L$ . Then  $x$  is said to be **orthogonal** on  $y$  (denoted by  $x \perp y$ ) if and only if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .

### Example 4.26.

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^2$  is I.P.S such that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$  is usual inner product

$\forall X = (x_1, x_2), Y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $X = (-6, 3), Y = (2, -1), Z = (1, 2)$ .

Show that  $X \perp Z, Y \perp Z$  and  $Y \not\perp X$ .

**Solution:**  $\langle X, Z \rangle = \langle (-6, 3), (1, 2) \rangle = -6 + 6 = 0$ . Hence,  $X \perp Z$ .

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle =$$

$$\langle Y, X \rangle =$$

### Proposition 4.27.

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and  $x, y \in L$ . Then

(i) If  $x \perp y$  then  $y \perp x$ .

(ii)  $\mathbf{0}_L \perp x \quad \forall x \in L$ . (H.W.)

(iii) if  $x \perp x$  then  $x = \mathbf{0}_L$ . (H.W.)

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \perp y$  then  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . From Definition 4.1(3), we have

$$\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle} = \overline{0} = 0. \text{ i.e., } y \perp x. \quad \square$$



**Proposition 4.28.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and  $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$  such that  $x$  is orthogonal on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Prove that  $x$  is orthogonal on any linear combination of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a linear combination of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . i.e., there exists  $\alpha_i \in F$  such that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ . We must show  $\langle x, w \rangle = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, w \rangle &= \langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i} \langle x, x_i \rangle \quad (\text{by Corollary 4.9(ii)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\alpha_i} \cdot 0 \quad (\text{From the assumption}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Example 4.29.**

(1) Find the value of  $a$  that makes the vectors  $X = (a, 2, -1), Y = (3, -5, 2)$  orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with usual inner product. (**H.W.**)

(2) Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S over  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  (i.e.,  $x$  and  $y$  are normal elements). Prove that  $x + y \perp x - y$ .

**Answer:**  $\langle x + y, x - y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle - \langle y, y \rangle = \|x\|^2 - \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle - \|y\|^2 = 0$ . Hence,  $x + y \perp x - y$ .

(3) Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $x \perp y$ . Prove that  $\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2$ .

**Answer:**  $\|x + y\|^2 = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle$   
 $= \|x\|^2 + 0 + 0 + \|y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$

Similarly,  $\|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$ .

(4) Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and let  $x, y \in L$  such that  $x \perp y$ . Prove that  $\|x + \lambda y\| = \|x - \lambda y\|$ .

**Answer: (H.W.)**

(5) Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$  such that  $x_i \perp x_j \ \forall i \neq j$ . Prove that  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2$ .

**Answer:** We prove using induction. If  $n = 1$ , the statement is true.

If  $n = 2$ . Since  $x_1 \perp x_2$  then  $\|x_1 + x_2\|^2 = \|x_1\|^2 + \|x_2\|^2$  (by part (3)).

Suppose the statement is true for  $n = k$ . i.e.,  $\|\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2$

To prove the statement is true when  $n = k + 1$ . i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{T.P. } \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \|x_i\|^2 \\ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + x_{k+1} \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right\|^2 + \|x_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2 + \|x_{k+1}\|^2 \quad (\text{by induction } n = k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \|x_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.30. Orthogonal to Set**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S,  $x \in L$ , and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then,  $x$  is said to be orthogonal on  $A$  ( $x \perp A$ ) if  $x \perp a \ \forall a \in A$ .

**Example 4.31.**

Consider the space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with usual product space and  $A = \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

Then  $(2, 0) \perp A$  because  $\langle (2, 0), (0, a) \rangle = 2 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot a = 0$ .

**Definition 4.32. Orthogonal Sets**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S, and  $A, B \subseteq L$ . Then,  $A$  is said to be orthogonal to  $B$  ( $A \perp B$ ) if  $a \perp b, \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B$ .

**Example 4.33.**

Consider the space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with usual inner product and  $A = \{(0, a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and  $B = \{(b, 0) : b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Show that  $A \perp B$ .

**Answer:** for each  $(0, a) \in A$  and for each  $(b, 0) \in B$ , then

$$\langle (a, 0), (0, b) \rangle = a \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot b = 0. \text{ Thus, } A \perp B.$$

**Proposition 4.34.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S, and  $A, B \subseteq L$  such that  $A \perp B$  then  $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A \cap B \Rightarrow x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  (I)

Since  $A \perp B \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle = 0, \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B$ .

From (I),  $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle = 0$ .

Using Definition 4.1(2),  $x = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $A \cap B = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . □

**Definition 4.35.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S. and  $\phi \neq A \subseteq L$ . Then, the set

$$A^\perp = \{x \in L : x \perp a, \forall a \in A\}$$

is called the orthogonal complement on  $A$ .

**Proposition 4.36.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S. and  $\phi \neq A, B \subseteq L$ . Then,

$$(1) L^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

$$(2) \{\mathbf{0}\}^\perp = L. \text{ (H.W.)}$$

$$(3) A \cap A^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

$$(4) A \subseteq A^{\perp\perp}.$$

$$(5) \text{ If } A \subseteq B \text{ then } B^\perp \subseteq A^\perp. \text{ (H.W.)}$$

$$(6) \text{ If } A \subseteq B^\perp \text{ then } B \subseteq A^\perp.$$

*Proof.* (1)  $L^\perp = \{x \in L : x \perp L\} = \{x \in L : \langle x, l \rangle = 0, \forall l \in L\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

$$(3) \text{ Let } x \in A \cap A^\perp \Rightarrow x \in A \text{ and } x \in A^\perp \quad \text{(I)}$$

$$\text{Since } x \in A^\perp \text{ then } x \perp A \quad \text{(II)}$$

From (I) and (II),  $x \perp x$ . i.e.,  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , thus  $x = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then,  $A \cap A^\perp = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$

$$(4) \text{ To prove } A \subseteq A^{\perp\perp}. \text{ Let } x \in A.$$

For any  $y \in A^\perp \Rightarrow y \perp A$ . In particular,  $y \perp x$  ( $x \in A$ )

From Proposition 4.27(1),  $x \perp y, \forall y \in A^\perp$ . Thus,  $x \in A^{\perp\perp}.$

$$(6) \text{ Let } A \subseteq B^\perp, \text{ then from part (5), } B^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^\perp.$$

Now, from part (4),  $B \subseteq B^{\perp\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$ . Then,  $B \subseteq A^\perp.$

□

**Theorem 4.37.**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S. and  $\phi \neq A \subseteq L$ . Then,  $A^\perp$  is a closed subspace of  $L$ .

*Proof.* (1) To prove  $A^\perp$  is a subspace of  $L$ .

Let  $x, y \in A^\perp$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . T.P.  $\alpha x + \beta y \in A^\perp$

$$\text{T.P. } \langle \alpha x + \beta y, a \rangle = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

$$\text{Since } x, y \in A^\perp \Rightarrow \langle x, a \rangle = \langle y, a \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{I})$$

$$\langle \alpha x + \beta y, a \rangle = \alpha \langle x, a \rangle + \bar{\beta} \langle y, a \rangle = \alpha \cdot 0 + \bar{\beta} \cdot 0 = 0 \quad [\text{from (I)}]$$

Thus,  $A^\perp$  is a subspace of  $L$ .

(2) T.P.  $A^\perp$  is a closed set (i.e.,  $A^\perp \subseteq \overline{A^\perp}$  and  $\overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$ )

$$\text{It is clear that } A^\perp \subseteq \overline{A^\perp} \quad (\text{I})$$

T.P.  $\overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp$ . Let  $x \in \overline{A^\perp}$  then  $\exists (x_n) \in A^\perp$  such that  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$ .

Since  $(x_n) \in A^\perp, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow x_n \perp A \Rightarrow x_n \perp a, \quad \forall a \in A$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x_n, a \rangle = 0, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

But  $(x_n) \rightarrow x$  and  $a \rightarrow a$ . Thus, from Theorem 4.19(1),  $\underbrace{\langle x_n, a \rangle}_{=0} \rightarrow \langle x, a \rangle$ .

$$\langle x, a \rangle = 0 \quad \forall a \in A. \text{ Then, } x \in A^\perp. \text{ Thus, } \overline{A^\perp} \subseteq A^\perp \quad (\text{II}).$$

From (I) and (II),  $A^\perp$  is a closed set.

□

**Definition 4.38. Orthonormal Set**

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S. and  $A \subseteq L$ . Then,  $A$  is called **orthonormal set** if

(1)  $A$  is said to be **orthogonal** if  $x \perp y \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad x \neq y$ .

(2) Each element  $x \in A$  is a normal element. i.e.,  $\langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x\| = 1 \quad \forall x \in A$ .

**Remark 4.39.**

Orthonormal set has no zero element ( $\mathbf{0} \notin A$ ) because  $\|\mathbf{0}\| \neq 1$  ( $\mathbf{0}$  is not normal element).

**Example 4.40.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^3$  with usual inner product and  $A = \{(1, 2, 2), (2, 1, -2), (2, -2, 1)\} \subset L$ . Show that  $A$  is orthogonal but not orthonormal.

**Solution:** T.P.  $A$  is orthogonal set (H.W.).

To show not every vector in  $A$  is normal. i.e.,

$$\|(1, 2, 2)\|^2 = \langle(1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 2)\rangle = 1 + 4 + 4 = 9 \neq 1 \Rightarrow \|(1, 2, 2)\| \neq 1.$$

Thus,  $A$  is not orthonormal.

**Theorem 4.41.**

Let  $L$  be an I.P.S. and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be orthonormal vectors in  $L$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x, x_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in L$$

.

**Example 4.42.**

Let  $L = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $X_1 = \frac{1}{3}(1, 2, 2)$ ,  $X_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2, 1, -2)$ ,  $X_3 = \frac{1}{3}(2, -2, 1)$ .

Let  $X = (2, 1, 3)$ . Then

$$|\langle X, X_1 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(2 + 2 + 6)\right]^2 = \frac{100}{9}$$

$$|\langle X, X_2 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(4 + 1 - 6)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{9}$$

$$|\langle X, X_3 \rangle|^2 = \left[\frac{1}{3}(4 - 2 + 3)\right]^2 = \frac{25}{9}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 |\langle X, X_i \rangle|^2 = \frac{100}{9} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{25}{9} = 14.$$

on the other hand,  $\|X\|^2 = \langle X, X \rangle = 4 + 1 + 9 = 14$ .

As in Theorem 4.41,  $\sum_{i=1}^3 |\langle X, X_i \rangle|^2 = \|X\|^2$

Take  $X = (1, 1, 1)$  and apply Theorem 4.41. **(H.W.)**

### Theorem 4.43.

Let  $(L, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an I.P.S. Let  $(x_n)$  be an orthonormal sequence in  $L$  and  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $F$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_i|^2 < +\infty$ . Let  $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$ . Then,  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

*Proof.* Let  $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$ ,  $y_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i$ . Assume that  $n < m$  then  $m = n + k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We must prove  $\|y_m - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ .

$$y_m - y_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_m - y_n\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2 = \langle \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \bar{\lambda}_i \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_i \langle x_i, x_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \|x_i\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (\|x_i\|^2 = 1 \quad \forall i) \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} |\lambda_i|^2 \rightarrow 0$  ( $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |\lambda_i|^2$  convergent)

Thus,  $\|y_m - y_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  which means  $\|y_m - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $(y_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.  $\square$